STATE OF FLORIDA FLORIDA HOUSING FINANCE CORPORATION

OAKS AT STONE FOUNTAIN LIMITED PARTNERSHIP and DIXIE COURT ASSOCIATES, LTD.,

Petitioners,

vs.

Case No: 2005- 036 UC

FLORIDA HOUSING FINANCE CORPORATION,

Respondent.		

PETITION FOR INFORMAL ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDING

Petitioners, OAKS_AT STONE FOUNTAIN LIMITED PARTNERSHIP ("Oaks") and DIXIE COURT ASSOCIATES, LTD. ("Dixie Court"), pursuant to Sections 120.569 and 120.57(1), Florida Statutes, and Rules 28-106.301, and 67-48.005(5), Florida Administrative Code ("F.A.C."), hereby request an informal administrative proceeding to challenge the incorrect scoring and ranking by Respondent, the FLORIDA HOUSING FINANCE CORPORATION ("FHFC"), of two competing applications for 2005 tax credit financing, which resulted in FHFC denying Oaks and Dixie Court their requested tax credit funding. In support of their Petition, Oaks and Dixie Court state as follows:

1. The name and address of the agency affected by this action are:

Florida Housing Finance Corporation City Center Building, Suite 5000 227 N. Bronough Street Tallahassee, Florida 32301-1329

2. The address and telephone number of the Petitioners are:

Oaks at Stone Fountain Limited Partnership 3131 Clark Road, Suite 203 Sarasota, FL 34231 Telephone No. (941) 929-1270

Dixie Court Associates, Ltd. 437 S.W. 4th Ave. Ft. Lauderdale, FL 33315 Telephone No. (954) 525-6444

3. The name, address, telephone number, and fax number of the Petitioners' attorney, which shall be the Petitioners' address for service purposes during the course of this proceeding, are:

Warren H. Husband Metz, Hauser, Husband & Daughton, P.A. P.O. Box 10909 Tallahassee, Florida 32302-2909 Telephone No. (850) 205-9000 Facsimile No. (850) 205-9001

The Low-Income Housing Tax Credit Program

4. The United States Congress has created a program, governed by Section 42 of the Internal Revenue Code ("IRC"), by which federal income tax credits are allotted annually to each state on a per capita basis to help facilitate

private development of affordable low-income housing for families. These tax credits entitle the holder to a dollar-for-dollar reduction in the holder's federal tax liability, which can be taken for up to ten years if the project continues to satisfy all IRC requirements.

- 5. The tax credits allocated annually to each state are awarded by state "housing credit agencies" to single-purpose applicant entities created by real estate developers to construct and operate specific multi-family housing projects. The applicant entity then sells this ten-year stream of tax credits, typically to a "syndicator," with the sale proceeds generating much of the funding necessary for development and construction of the project. The equity produced by this sale of tax credits in turn reduces the amount of long-term debt required for the project, making it possible to operate the project at below-market-rate rents that are affordable to low-income and very-low-income tenants.
- 6. Pursuant to section 420.5099, Florida Statutes, FHFC is the designated "housing credit agency" for the State of Florida and administers Florida's low-income housing tax credit program. Through this program, FHFC allocates Florida's annual fixed pool of federal tax credits to developers of affordable housing.¹

¹ FHFC is a public corporation created by law in section 420.504, Florida Statutes, to provide and promote the financing of affordable housing and related facilities in Florida. FHFC is an "agency" as defined in section 120.52(1), Florida Statutes, and is therefore subject to the provisions of Chapter 120, Florida Statutes.

The 2005 Universal Application Cycle

- 7. Because FHFC's available pool of federal tax credits each year is limited, qualified projects must compete for this funding. To assess the relative merits of proposed projects, FHFC has established a competitive application process pursuant to Chapter 67-48, F.A.C. As set forth in Rules 67-48.002-.005, F.A.C., FHFC's application process for 2005 consisted of the following:
 - a. the publication and adoption by rule of a "Universal Application Package," which applicants use to apply for a variety of FHFCadministered funding programs, including federal tax credits;
 - b. the completion and submission of applications by developers;
 - c. FHFC's preliminary scoring of applications;
 - d. an initial round of administrative challenges in which an applicant may take issue with FHFC's scoring of another application by filing a Notice of Possible Scoring Error ("NOPSE");
 - e. FHFC's consideration of the NOPSE's submitted, with notice to applicants of any resulting change in their scores;
 - f. an opportunity for the applicant to submit additional materials to FHFC to "cure" any items for which the applicant received less than the maximum score;
 - g. a second round of administrative challenges whereby an applicant may raise scoring issues arising from another applicant's cure materials by filing a Notice of Alleged Deficiency ("NOAD");
 - h. FHFC's consideration of the NOAD's submitted, with notice to applicants of any resulting change in their scores;

- i. an opportunity for an applicant to challenge, via informal or formal administrative proceedings, FHFC's evaluation of any item in their own application for which the applicant received less than the maximum score;
- j. final scores, ranking, and allocation of tax credit funding to applicants, adopted through final orders; and
- k. an opportunity for applicants to challenge, via informal or formal administrative proceedings, FHFC's final scoring and ranking of competing applications where such scoring and ranking resulted in a denial of FHFC funding to the challenger.²
- 8. On or about February 16, 2005, numerous applications were submitted to FHFC seeking tax credit funding. Oaks (FHFC Applic. #2005-125C) applied for \$876,458 in annual tax credits to help finance its project, a 80-unit garden-style apartment complex in Hillsborough County, Florida. Dixie Court applied for \$1,251,220 in annual tax credits to help finance its project, a 122-unit mixed-design development in Broward County, Florida. All units in both of these projects are dedicated to housing families earning 60% or less of the area median income.
- 9. At the conclusion of the scoring process outlined above, both Oaks and Dixie Court received from FHFC the maximum possible application score of

² Notably, if successful in such a challenge, FHFC funding is not taken away from the competing applicant who was scored or ranked in error and given to the challenger. Instead, the competing applicant keeps its funding, and the challenger receives its requested funding "off-the-top" from the next available source of such funds allocated to FHFC. Rule 67-48.005(7), F.A.C.

66 points, met all of FHFC's threshold application requirements, and competed for tax credits in the Large County Geographic Set-Aside.³

- 10. The applicants whose scoring is at issue in this proceeding are for the following Developments, both of which FHFC also allowed to compete for tax credits in the Large County Geographic Set-Aside: (a) Evergreen Apartments (FHFC Applic. #2005-035C), located in Hillsborough County, Florida; and (b) Park Terrace Apartments (FHFC Applic. #2005-060C), also located in Hillsborough County, Florida.⁴
- 11. On August 25, 2005, FHFC's Board adopted final "post-appeal" scores and rankings. Like Oaks and Dixie Court, Evergreen and Park Terrace received the maximum possible application score of 66 points and the maximum possible "proximity" score of 7.5 points. After applying a tie-breaker based upon the drawing of lottery numbers, however, FHFC ranked Evergreen and Park Terrace above Oaks and Dixie Court in the Large County Geographic Set-Aside.

³ Aside from applicants proposing projects targeted to specific tenant populations (e.g., the Homeless) or located in specific areas (e.g., the Florida Keys), applicants generally compete against each other for funding within Geographic Set-Asides (Large, Medium, and Small) based upon the population of the county in which their project is located.

⁴ The location of both of these projects in Hillsborough County is of special significance to Oaks, whose project is also located in this county. In an effort to distribute its available tax credits across the state, FHFC uses a Set-Aside Unit Limitation" ("SAUL") that restricts the number of units it will fund in any given county. Thus, an application ranked higher than applications in other counties may nonetheless be skipped over for funding if the SAUL for its county has been exceeded under FHFC's rules.

- 12. In allocating the available tax credits to the ranked applications in the Large County Geographic Set-Aside, both Evergreen and Park Terrace were designated to received tax credit funding. Although tax credits remained available in the Large County Geographic Set-Aside, and Oaks' lottery number otherwise ranked it high enough to receive these credits, the funding of Evergreen and Park Terrace exhausted the SAUL in Hillsborough County. As such, Oaks was skipped over and was not designated to receive any tax credit funding.
- 13. If FHFC had properly rejected either Evergreen or Park Terrace and eliminated one or both applications from further competition, as explained below, Oaks would have received its requested tax credits from the Large County Geographic Set-Aside. Oaks' substantial interests were therefore materially and adversely affected by FHFC's improper actions, and Oaks has standing to challenge FHFC's actions in this proceeding.
- 14. After the funding of Evergreen, Park Terrace, and other applications, the available tax credits in the Large County Geographic Set-Aside ran out after funding the application ranked just above Dixie Court. Thus, Dixie Court was left just one place out of the "funding range" in the Large County Geographic Set-Aside Oaks and was not designated to receive any tax credit funding. If FHFC had properly rejected Evergreen and Park Terrace and eliminated these applications from further competition, as explained below, Dixie Court would have received its

requested tax credits from the Large County Geographic Set-Aside. Dixie Court's substantial interests were therefore materially and adversely affected by FHFC's improper actions, and Dixie Court has standing to challenge FHFC's actions in this proceeding.

- 15. On August 26, 2005, Oaks and Dixie Court received formal notice from FHFC of these final rankings and scores, along with notice of their rights under Chapter 120 to challenge them. This Petition is timely filed in response to that notice.
- 16. As noted above, pursuant to the process established by FHFC, if Oaks and Dixie Court successfully demonstrate in this proceeding that FHFC incorrectly scored and ranked Evergreen and/or Park Terrace, neither Evergreen nor Park Terrace will have their tax credits taken away and awarded to Oaks and Dixie Court. Rather, Oaks and Dixie Court will receive their requested tax credits "off-the-top" from the next available tax credits allocated to FHFC. Rule 67-48.005(7), F.A.C.

<u>Evergreen</u> - Failure to Submit Complete General Contractor Certification -

17. Effective February 7, 2005, FHFC adopted by reference in its rules the Universal Application Package for FHFC's 2005 tax credit funding cycle, which includes both the Application and Exhibits to be completed by developers and

submitted to FHFC, as well as a set of Application Instructions. <u>See</u> Rule 67-48.004(1), F.A.C.

- 18. Page 7 of the Application Instructions required Evergreen to provide a properly completed General Contractor Certification Form in Exhibit 13, along with a Prior Experience Chart demonstrating the general contractor's experience in constructing developments similar to the one proposed in the Application. *See Appendix A*. Among other things, the Certification form must state the general contractor's Florida License Number, and, per the terms of the Certification, the form must be signed by the individual licensed as a general contractor under that license number ("I certify that I am a General Contractor . . . licensed in the State of Florida"). *See Appendix B*.
- 19. As part of this Certification, the general contractor signing the form must also specifically certify that "I have been the General Contractor on at least two completed developments of similar development category and development type . . . as evidenced by the accompanying prior experience chart." See Appendix B. The Prior Experience Chart is specifically required to show the Development

⁵ Notably, in Florida, only an <u>individual</u> may be licensed as a general contractor. This individual may then choose to serve as a "qualifying agent" for a business entity through which he or she will engage in general contracting work. Fla. Stat. §489.105(3), .111, .113, .119 (2004).

⁶ All emphasis in quoted materials is added by undersigned counsel unless otherwise noted.

Category (New Construction or Rehabilitation/Substantial Rehabilitation) and the Development Type (Garden, Townhouses, High-Rise, etc.) of the construction projects claimed as the general contractor's prior experience. <u>See Appendix A.</u>

- 20. Importantly, this is a "threshold" item, and the failure of a general contractor to properly document his or her experience in compliance with FHFC's requirements mandates rejection of the application, eliminating it from further funding consideration.⁷
- 21. In response to this item, Evergreen originally provided in Exhibit 13 a Certification Form signed by Matthew G. Robinson, in which Mr. Robinson certified his experience as detailed on an attached Prior Experience Chart. <u>See Appendix C.</u>

⁷ Application Instructions, p. 2 ("Each page and applicable exhibit of the Application must be accurately completed, and Applicants must provide all requested information. Failure to provide the requested information and documentation shall result in failure to meet threshold for threshold items . . ."); Application Instructions, p. 82 ("Requirements to meet Threshold include: 1. None of the items described in Rule Chapters 67-21 and/or 67-48, F.A.C., has caused the rejection of the Application by the Corporation. 2. All applicable pages and exhibit forms of the Application <u>must</u> be completed."); Rule 67-48.004(1)(b), F.A.C., ("All Applications must be complete . . . when submitted); Rule 67-48.004(2), F.A.C. ("Failure to submit an Application completed in accordance with the Application instructions and these rules will result in the failure to meet threshold . . . in accordance with the instructions in the Application and this rule chapter."); Rule 67-48.004(13), F.A.C. ("The Corporation shall reject an Application if . . . : (a) The Development . . . does not conform to the Application requirements specified in this rule chapter; (b) The Applicant fails to achieve the threshold requirements as detailed in these rules. the applicable Application, and Application instructions; (c) The Applicant fails to file all applicable Application pages and exhibits which are provided by the Corporation and adopted under this rule chapter . . . ").

22. In response to a NOPSE, however, FHFC determined that Evergreen's Exhibit 13 was deficient for the following reason:

The person that signs the General Contractor or Principal of General Contractor Certification form must be the same person that the Florida license number stated on the form was assigned to. The Applicant submitted a General Contractor or Principal of General Contractor Certification form with a Florida license number that is assigned to Bob Fleckerstein [sp.], but the form is signed by Matthew G. Robinson. The form has the incorrect signatory for the Florida license number provided and, therefore, is not acceptable.

<u>See</u> Appendix D (Item 1T).

- 23. In its cure materials, Evergreen provided a new General Contractor Certification form in an attempt to resolve the deficiency identified by FHFC. This new Certification form was signed by Robert L. Fleckenstein. <u>See Appendix E.</u>
- 24. This "cure," however, failed to satisfy FHFC's requirements, <u>because</u> the new Certification form signed by Mr. Fleckenstein was **not** accompanied by the required chart detailing Mr. Fleckenstein's prior experience as a general contractor. As such, the cure was fatally defective for at least two reasons.
- 25. First, because no Prior Experience Chart for Mr. Fleckenstein was submitted with his Certification form (as that form expressly requires), Mr. Fleckenstein has <u>not</u> certified to FHFC <u>any</u> specific experience as a general contractor in constructing developments similar to the one proposed by Evergreen.

The only such certification that exists in the Evergreen application regarding any specific general contractor experience is the original Certification form and attached Prior Experience Chart from Mr. Robinson, which FHFC has already determined to be deficient.

- 26. Second, FHFC's rule governing cure materials plainly states that "documents executed by third parties must be submitted in their entirety, including all attachments and exhibits referenced therein, even if only a portion of the original document was revised." Rule 67-48.004(6), F.A.C. Clearly, the Certification form is a document executed by a third party (the general contractor). The Certification form expressly references the required Prior Experience Chart ("as evidenced by the accompanying prior experience chart"), but this Chart was not provided as part of the cured document. The chart is an integral part of the certification because FHFC requires the General Contractor signing the Certification form to certify that the accompanying Prior Experience Chart accurately reflects his or her specific construction experience.
- 27. Thus, Evergreen failed to accurately supply the information required in Exhibit 13, in spite of FHFC's specific Application requirements. The Evergreen application was incorrect and incomplete with respect to this threshold item, requiring rejection.

Park Terrace - Failure to Submit Complete General Contractor Certification -

- 28. In response to the same general contractor certification requirements discussed above, Park Terrace originally provided in Exhibit 13 a Certification Form signed by Sanjeev H. Mangoli, in which Mr. Mangoli certified his experience as detailed on an attached Prior Experience Chart. *See Appendix F*.
- 29. In response to a NOPSE, however, FHFC determined that Park Terrace's Exhibit 13 was deficient for the following reason:

The person that signs the General Contractor or Principal of General Contractor Certification form must be the same person that the Florida license number stated on the form was assigned to. The Applicant submitted a General Contractor or Principal of General Contractor Certification form with a Florida license number that is assigned to Robert P. Snow, but the form is signed by Sanjeev H. Mangoli. The form has the incorrect signatory for the Florida license number provided and, therefore, is not acceptable.

See Appendix G (Item 3T).

- 30. In its cure materials, Park Terrace provided a new General Contractor Certification form in an attempt to resolve the deficiency identified by FHFC. This new Certification form was signed by Robert P. Snow. *See Appendix H.*
- 31. This "cure," however, failed to satisfy FHFC's requirements, <u>because</u> the new Certification form signed by Mr. Snow was **not** accompanied by the required chart detailing Mr. Snow's prior experience as a general contractor. As

such, the cure was fatally defective for at least two reasons.

- 32. First, because no Prior Experience Chart for Mr. Snow was submitted with his Certification form (as that form expressly requires), Mr. Snow has **not** certified to FHFC any specific experience as a general contractor in constructing developments similar to the one proposed by Park Terrace. The only such certification that exists in the Park Terrace application regarding any specific general contractor experience is the original Certification form and attached Prior Experience Chart from Mr. Mangoli, which FHFC has already determined to be deficient.
- 33. Second, the Certification form is a document executed by a third party (the general contractor). The Certification form expressly references the required Prior Experience Chart, but this Chart was not provided as part of the cured document. FHFC's rule governing cure materials plainly states that "documents executed by third parties must be submitted in their entirety, including all attachments and exhibits referenced therein, even if only a portion of the original document was revised." Fla. Admin. Code R. 67-48.004(6). The chart is an integral part of the certification because FHFC requires the general contractor signing the Certification form to certify that the accompanying Prior Experience Chart accurately reflects his or her specific construction experience.

34. Thus, Park Terrace failed to accurately supply the information required in Exhibit 13, in spite of FHFC's specific Application requirements. The Park Terrace application was incorrect and incomplete with respect to this threshold item, requiring rejection.

Townparc at Okeechobee - 2005 FHFC Rejection of Application for Materially Identical Deficiency in General Contractor Certification -

- 35. Standing FHFC precedent from this same 2005 tax credit application cycle dictates that Oaks and Dixie Court must be awarded their requested tax credits. *Finlay Interests*, *35*, *Ltd. v. FHFC*, FHFC Case No. 2005-019UC (Final Order Aug. 26, 2005). The cited case involved a project called "Townparc at Okeechobee" (FHFC Applic. #2005-090CS).
- 36. In response to the same general contractor certification requirements discussed above, Finlay Interests, 35, Ltd. ("Finlay"), originally provided in Exhibit 13 a Certification form signed by Christopher C. Finlay, in which Mr. Finlay certified his experience as detailed on an attached Prior Experience Chart. *See Appendix I.*
- 37. In response to a NOPSE, however, FHFC determined that this general contractor documentation was deficient for two reasons:

The person that signs the General Contractor or Principal of General Contractor Certification form must be the same person that the Florida license number stated on the form was assigned to. The Applicant submitted a General Contractor or Principal of General Contractor Certification form with a Florida license number that is assigned to Bruce James Moffat, but the form is signed by Christopher C. Finlay. The form has the incorrect signatory for the Florida license number provided and, therefore, is not acceptable.

The General Contractor's Prior Experience Chart provided is for Finlay Construction, LLC, not for Bruce James Moffat, DBA Finlay Properties, Inc, whose General Contractor's license number was provided on the General Contractor Certification Form.

See Appendix J (Items 10T & 11T).

- 38. In its cure materials, Finlay provided new general contractor documentation in an attempt to cure the deficiencies identified by FHFC. Finlay submitted a new general contractor Certification form signed by Paul Sowders, who stated his Florida License Number as "CGC001271." Unlike the cure materials submitted for Evergreen and Park Terrace, however, Mr. Sowders did certify his experience as detailed on an attached Prior Experience Chart. *See Appendix K*.
- 39. A NOAD was filed, however, revealing that the identified license (CGC001271) belonged to Robert Louis Fleckenstein, who was doing business as Summit Contractors, Inc. <u>not</u> to Paul Sowders. Contrary to FHFC's requirements, Paul Sowders, the signatory on this new Certification form, was plainly <u>not</u> the person holding the general contractor license identified on the form,

and the specific construction experience he certified in his accompanying Prior Experience Chart was therefore invalid.

40. In response to the NOAD, FHFC determined that Finlay's general contractor documentation was deficient for two reasons:

The person that signs the General Contractor or Principal of General Contractor Certification form must be the same person that the Florida license number stated on the form was assigned to. As a cure for Item 10T, the Applicant submitted a General Contractor or Principal of General Contractor Certification form with a Florida license number that is assigned to Robert Louis Fleckenstein, but the form is signed by Paul Sowders. The form has the incorrect signatory for the Florida license number provided and, therefore, is not acceptable.

The General Contractor Prior Experience Chart, provided with the Applicant's cure, represents the experience of Paul Sowders, the person who signed the General Contractor or Principal Contractor Certification Form. The requirement is that the General Contractor Prior Experience Chart represent the experience of the licensed General Contractor that signed the General Contractor or Principal Contractor Certification Form. As the Florida license number provided on the General Contractor Certification form was not assigned to Paul Sowders, it cannot be determined that the Prior Experience Chart provided reflects the experience of a properly licensed General Contractor. As such, it cannot be accepted.

<u>See Appendix J</u> (Items 12T & 13T). The Application was therefore incorrect and incomplete with respect to this threshold item, requiring rejection.

41. Finlay challenged this FHFC determination in an informal hearing before Hearing Officer Diane D. Tremor. In her Recommended Order, the Hearing Officer held:

It is concluded that the Respondent [FHFC] properly determined that neither the Certification form nor the Prior Experience Chart submitted in Petitioner's "cure" documents were acceptable to meet threshold requirements.

This Recommended Order was later adopted as the Final Order of FHFC on August 25, 2005.

- 42. Thus, as FHFC determined in this case, and as affirmed by Final Order, "[t]he requirement is that the General Contractor Prior Experience Chart represent the experience of the licensed General Contractor that signed the General Contractor or Principal Contractor Certification Form."
- 43. With respect to Evergreen and Park Terrace, this requirement was not satisfied. All that FHFC has with respect to the general contractor certification on each of these two applications is the following:
 - (a) In the original application, a Certification form and accompanying Prior Experience Chart detailing the specific construction experience of a person FHFC has already determined is <u>not</u> a licensed general contractor; and
 - (b) In the cure, a new Certification form from a person who <u>is</u> a licensed General Contractor, but who has certified <u>no</u> specific experience in the construction of similar developments because the referenced Prior Experience Chart was not attached to this Certification form.

44. As such, Evergreen and Park Terrace did not adequately document the experience of their general contractors. FHFC should have rejected these applications, which would have resulted in Oaks and Dixie Court receiving their requested tax credit funding.

Satisfaction of FHFC Requirements for Post-Ranking Challenge

45. By rule, FHFC has sought to limit the types of scoring errors that an applicant may challenge via Chapter 120 proceedings. FHFC's rule in this regard, Rule 67-48.005(5)(b), states as follows:

For any Application cycle closing after January 1, 2002, if the contested issue involves an error in scoring, the contested issue must (i) be one that could not have been cured pursuant to subsection 67-48.004(14), F.A.C., or (ii) be one that could have been cured, if the ability to cure was not solely within the Applicant's control. The contested issue cannot be one that was both curable and within the Applicant's sole control to cure. With regard to curable issues, a petitioner must prove that the contested issue was not feasibly curable within the time allowed for cures in subsection 67-48.004(6).

46. In this proceeding, the contested issue involves the adequacy of a Certification form and Prior Experience Chart supplied by the general contractors utilized by Evergreen and Park Terrace. While each general contractor's failure to properly certify and document their relevant construction experience could have been cured, the ability to do so "was not solely within the Applicant's control." The Certification form and accompanying Prior Experience Chart were supplied by

third parties – the general contractors at issue. As such, the FHFC scoring error relative to Evergreen and Park Terrace is of the type identified in Rule 67-48.005(5)(b), and may be properly challenged under that rule in this proceeding.

WHEREFORE, Petitioners, Oaks at Stone Fountain Limited Partnership and Dixie Court Associates, Ltd., request that:

- a. FHFC award Oaks and Dixie Court their requested tax credits from the next available allocation;
- b. FHFC conduct an informal hearing on the matters presented in this Petition if there are no disputed issues of material fact to be resolved;
- c. FHFC forward this Petition to the Florida Division of Administrative Hearings for a formal administrative hearing pursuant to section 120.57(1), Florida Statutes, if there are disputed issues of material to be resolved, or if non-rule policy forms the basis of any FHFC actions complained of herein;
- d. FHFC's designated hearing officer or an Administrative Law Judge, as appropriate, enter a Recommended Order directing FHFC to award Oaks and Dixie Court their requested tax credits from the next available allocation;
- e. FHFC enter a Final Order awarding Oaks and Dixie Court their requested tax credits from the next available allocation; and
- f. Oaks and Dixie Court be granted such other and further relief as may be deemed just and proper.

Respectfully submitted on this 16th day of September, 2005.

WARREN H. HUSBAND

FL BAR No. 0979899

Metz, Hauser, Husband & Daughton, P.A.

P.O. Box 10909

Tallahassee, Florida 32302-2909

850/205-9000

850/205-9001 (Fax)

Attorney for Petitioners

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that the original and a true and correct copy of the foregoing document were served via hand delivery to the **CORPORATION CLERK**, Florida Housing Finance Corporation, 227 N. Bronough Street, City Center Building, Suite 5000, Tallahassee, Florida, 32301-1329, on this 16th day of September, 2005.

Attorney

- 2. Management Agent or principal of Management Agent (Threshold)
 - a. Certification Provide the completed Management Agent or Principal of Management Agent Certification Form behind a tab labeled "Exhibit 12".
 - b. Prior Experience Chart The Management Agent or principal of Management Agent must demonstrate experience in the management of at least two affordable rental housing properties, at least one of which consists of a total number of units no less than 50 percent of the total number of units in the proposed Development, for at least two years each by providing a prior experience chart behind a tab labeled "Exhibit 12". The chart must include the following information:

Name of	Location	Currently Managing	Length of Time	Total Number
Development	(City & State)	or	(Years and	of
		Formerly Managed	Months)	Units

- 3. General Contractor or principal of General Contractor (Threshold)
 - a. Provide the completed General Contractor or Principal of General Contractor Certification Form behind a tab labeled "Exhibit 13".
 - b. Prior Experience Chart The General Contractor or principal of General Contractor must demonstrate experience in the construction of at least two completed housing developments of similar development category and development type, at least one of which consists of a total number of units no less than 50 percent of the total number of units in the proposed Development, by providing a prior experience chart behind a tab labeled "Exhibit 13". The chart must include the following information:

		Development Category	Development Type:	Total
Name of	Location	(New Construction or	garden, townhouses, high-	Number
Development	(City &	Rehabilitation/ Substantial	rise, duplex/quad., mid-rise	of
	State)	Rehabilitation)	w/elevator, single family,	Units
			SRO, or other (specify type)	

4. Architect or Engineer (Threshold)

Provide the completed Architect or Engineer Certification Form behind a tab labeled "Exhibit 14".

5. Attorney (Threshold)

Provide the completed Attorney Certification Form for MMRB, HOME or SAIL behind a tab labeled "Exhibit 15" and/or the completed Attorney Certification Form for HC behind a tab labeled "Exhibit 16".

6. Accountant (Threshold)

Provide the completed Accountant Certification Form behind a tab labeled "Exhibit 17".

GENERAL CONTRACTOR OR PRINCIPAL OF GENERAL CONTRACTOR CERTIFICATION

Name of Development:		
Name of General Contractor:		
Name of principal of General Contr	ractor, if applicable:	
Address of General Contractor:		
Telephone of General Contractor:		
		Expiration of License
		Date (mm/yyyy)
this Application and that I have been the development category and development 50 percent of the total number of unit accompanying prior experience chart, at the requirements of the Federal Fair Rehabilitation Act of 1973 and Titles I 28 CFR 35, incorporating the most requirements which apply or could a implementation of Florida's welfare welfare-to-work or self-sufficiency type and to provide such substantiating door work force as may be requested by the I further certify my willingness and it process with the Applicant to act as information provided above is true and NOTE: If the Applicant is a Public subject to the Competitive Consultant development execute this certification. Authorities or other regulated entities	the General Contractor type, at least one of its in the Development and that I am knowled in Housing Act as it I and III of the Americant amendments and apply to the propose reform legislation, I be program participar umentation regarding servicing agent in contention to enter into its the General—Contractor to the intention of this to have an opportuities without prior distributed in the contractor of the intent of this to have an opportuities without prior distributed in the contractor of th	rthiness to successfully produce the units proposed by or on at least two completed developments of similar which consists of a total number of units no less than at proposed by this Application, as evidenced by the legable of all federal, state and local requirements and implemented by 24 CFR 100, Section 504 of the cans with Disabilities Act of 1990 as implemented by other legislation, regulations, rules and other related did Development. In recognition and support of the certify my willingness to encourage the hiring of its in the construction of the proposed Development, the incorporation of such program participants in the injunction with construction loan draw disbursements. It is good faith negotiations or participate in a bidding actor for this proposed Development and that the with prior development experience, or is otherwise it may have the General Contractor from a prior is provision is to allow experienced Public Housing inity to meet threshold without violation of bidding evelopment experience must joint venture with an a funding cycle.
General Contractor's Signature	Date (mm/dd/yyyy)	Print or Type Name of Signatory
Witness to General Contractor's Signature	Date (mm/dd/yyyy)	Print or Type Name of Signatory
If this certification contains corrections or to meet threshold and will be rejected. The		anned, imaged, altered, or retyped, the Application will fail otocopied.
UA1016 (Rev05)		Exhibit

Exhibit 13

GENERAL CONTRACTOR OR PRINCIPAL OF GENERAL CONTRACTOR CERTIFICATION

Name of Development: Evagreen Ap	artments	
Name of General Contractor:	unnet ConTRAC	TORS Inc.
Name of principal of General Contra	actor, if applicable: Bob Flee	KCLSTEIN
Address of General Contractor:	6877 Phillips -	Inpusmial Blub.
	JACK Sonville, Je	31256
Telephone of General Contractor:	904 268-5500	
Florida License Number: CGC	00/27/ Expiration o	f License 8-31-06
		Date (mm/yyyy)
	erience and credit worthiness to succe the General Contractor on at least twi- it type, at least one of which consists a is in the Development proposed by it and that I am knowledgeable of all fed- ir Housing Act as implemented by I and III of the Americans with Disab- tent amendments and other legislation apply to the proposed Development, reform legislation, I certify my will be program participants in the constru- umentation regarding the incorporation servicing agent in conjunction with a intention to enter into good faith ne- is the General Contractor for this in- correct. Housing Authority with prior deve- ters Negotiations Act, it may have to the Intent of this provision is to a to have an opportunity to meet the ities without prior development ex- per to participate in this funding cycle.	essfully produce the units proposed by to completed developments of similar of a total number of units no less than this Application, as evidenced by the deral, state and local requirements and 24 CFR 100, Section 504 of the dilities Act of 1990 as implemented by an regulations, rules and other related. In recognition and support of the llingness to encourage the hiring of auction of the proposed Development, and of such program participants in the construction loan draw disbursements, gotiations or participate in a bidding proposed Development and that the elopment experience, or is otherwise the General Contractor from a prior to allow experienced Public Housing preschold without violation of bidding perience must joint venture with an the Name of Signatory

If this certification contains corrections or 'white-out', or if it is scanned, imaged, altered, or retyped, the Application will fail to meet threshold and will be rejected. The certification may be photocopied,

Prior Experience Chart General Contractor

Namo of				
Development	(City & State)	Development Category	Development Type	ž
Casa Da Roca	NAME AND ASSESSED.	Selection College	garden, nigh-rise, etc.	Units
800	Meridola, FL	New Construction	Garden	81
Golden Lakee				
	Wilarrii, FL	New Construction	Garden	280
Holly Cove	i i			
	Orange Fark, FL	New Construction	Garden	202

2005 MMRB, SAIL & HC Scoring Summary

As of: 08/24/2005

2005-035C

Development Name: Evergreen Apartm

As Of:	Total Points	Met Threshold?	Proximity Tie- Breaker Points	Corporation Funding per Set- Aside Unit	SAIL Request Amount as Percentage of Development Cost	Is SAIL Request Amount Equal to or Greater than 10% of Total Development Cost?
08 - 24 - 2005	99	>	7.5	\$55,999.97	%	Z
Preliminary	92	>	3.75	\$55,999.97	%	Z
NOPSE	65	Z	3.75	\$55,999.97	%	Z
Final	99	>	7.5	\$55,999.97	%	Z
Final-Ranking	99	>	7.5	\$55,999.97	%	Z

Scores:

5									
Item	# Par	rt Section	Item # Part Section Subsection Description	Description	Available Preliminary NOPSE Final Final Ranking Points	reliminary	NOPSEF	inal Final	Ranking
				Optional Features & Amenities					
18	Ξ.	В	2.a.	New Construction	6	6	6	6	6
15	≡	В	2.b.	Rehabilitation/Substantial Rehabilitation	6	0	0	0	0
52	=	8	2.c.	All Developments Except SRO	12	12	12	12	12
7.5	≡.	8	2.d.	SRO Developments	12	0	0	0	0
38	≡	В	2.e.	Energy Conservation Features	6	6	6	6	6
				Set-Aside Commitments					
4S	=	ننا	1.b.	Total Set-Aside Percentage	3	3	3	3	3
58	≡	Е	1.c.	Set-Aside Breakdown Chart	5	5	5	5	5
68	≡	ш	3	Affordability Period	5	5	5	2	5
				Resident Programs					
7.5	=.	L	-	Programs for Non-Elderly & Non-Homeless	9	9	9	9	9
7.5	=	노	2	Programs for Homeless (SRO & Non-SRO)	9	0	0	0	0
7.8	=	u.	3	Programs for Elderly	9	0	0	0	0
9S	Ξ	LL	4	Programs for All Applicants	8	80	80	8	8
				Local Government Support					
98	2		ė	Contributions	5	5	5	5	5
108	≥		р.	Incentives	4	3	3	4	4

2005 MMRB, SAIL & HC Scoring Summary

As of: 08/24/2005

File # 2005-035C

Development Name: Evergreen Apartments

Reaso	Reason(s) Scores Not Maxed:		
Item #	Reason(s)	Created As Result	Created As Result Rescinded as Result
108	The Local Government Verification of Affordable Housing Incentives - Expedited Permitting Process for Affordable Housing form provided in the Application is Preliminary incomplete because the Ordinance Number, Resolution Number or Citation of Policy, as well as its date of adoption, is not stated. Therefore, zero points were awarded for this incentive.	reliminary	Final

Thres	hold(Threshold(s) Failed:					
Item #	Par	t Section	Item # Part Section Subsection	Description	Reason(s)	Created As Result of	Created As Result Rescinded as Result of
-	=	ш	m	General Contractor Certification Form The Cert the form the form of G assignation and the form then then then then then then then then	The person that signs the General Contractor or Principal of General Contractor Certification form must be the same person that the Florida license number stated on the form was assigned to. The Applicant submitted a General Contractor or Principal of General Contractor Certification form with a Florida license number that is assigned to Bob Fleckerstein, but the form is signed by Matthew G. Robinson. The form has the incorrect signatory for the Florida license number provided and, therefore, is not acceptable.	NOPSE	Final
21	>	۵		Ш	The Applicant stated at Exhibit 9 of the Application that the Limited Partner's interest NOPSE in the Applicant's entity was 1% and the General Partner's interest was 99%. Page 4 of the Universal Application Instructions states that there cannot be a material change of 33.3% or more in the General Partner of the Applicant. Therefore, unless there is a change to the Limited Partnership structure before the Application is finalized, not more than 33.9571% (1% + 33.29% of the General Partner's interest) could go to the Limited Partner. The Applicant though provided an equity commitment from Raymond James Tax Credit Funds, Inc. which is based on the Investment Limited Partner purchasing 99.99% of the housing credits which is more than 33.9571% limit. Thus, the equity commitment was not scored firm and was not counted as a source of financing.	NOPSE	Final
3.	>	8		Construction Financing Shortfall	The Application has a construction financing shortfall of \$4,040,079.	NOPSE	Final
4T	>_	a		Permanent Financing Shortfall	The Application has a permanent financing shortfall of \$4,040,079.	NOPSE	Final
5T	>	۵	Pro Forma	Fee Waiver	The Applicant listed an \$8,000 Hillsborough County loan origination fee waiver as a source of funding during construction and permanent financing. A fee waiver cannot be used as a source (or an expense in the proforma) of funding during construction or permanent financing, therefore it was not counted as a firm commitment.	NOPSE	Final
19	>	Q	Ex 56	Construction and Permanent Loan Financing	The Applicant provided a loan commitment from Neighborhood Lending Partners of West Florida, Inc. The commitment states that a description of the property is	NOPSE	Final

2005 MMRB, SAIL & HC Scoring Summary

As of: 08/24/2005

File # 2005-035C

Development Name: Evergreen Apartments

Threshol	Threshold(s) Failed:					
item # P	art Section	tem # Part Section Subsection	Description	Reason(s)	Created As Result of	Created As Result Rescinded as Result of
				attached as Exhibit A; however, Exhibit A to the commitment was not provided. Page 77 of the Universal Application Instructions states all attachments to a commitment must be included in order for the commitment to be considered firm. Therefore, the commitment was not scored firm and was not counted as a source of financing.		

Proxin	nity	Proximity Tie-Breaker Points:	r Points:							
Item #	ъ Б	nt Section	Subsection	Item # Part Section Subsection Description	Available	Preliminary	NOPSE	Final	Available Preliminary NOPSE Final Final Ranking	,
16	≡	А	10.a.(2)(a)	Grocery Store	1.25	0	0	1.25	1.25	
2 p	Ξ	A	10.a.(2)(b)	Public School	1.25	0	0	1.25	1.25	,
3Р	=	A	10.a.(2)(c)	Medical Facility	1.25	0	0	0	0	,
45	=	4	10.a.(2)(d)	Pharmacy	1.25	0	0	0	0	
5P	=	4	10.a.(2)(e)	Public Bus Stop or Metro-Rail Stop	1.25	0	0	1.25	1.25	
еР	=	٨	10.b.	Proximity to Developments on FHFC Development Proximity List	3.75	3.75	3.75	3.75 3.75	3.75	

Reason(s) for Failure to Achieve Selected Proximity Tie-Breaker Points:

Item #	Reason(s)	Created As Result	Created As Result Rescinded as Result
		oŧ	jo
15	Surveyor Certification Form was illegible and not able to be scored.	Preliminary	Final
2P	Surveyor Certification Form was illegible and not able to be scored.	Preliminary	Final
5P	Surveyor Certification Form was illegible and not able to be scored.	Preliminary	Final

2005 CURE FORM

(Submit a SEPARATE form for EACH reason relative to EACH Application Part, Section, Subsection, and Exhibit)

	Cure Form	n is being submitted w	ith regard to Applica	tion No. <u>200</u>	<u>5-</u> 035C and
Part _	_II	Section B_ Subsec	tion 3 Exhibit No. 13	(if applicable)	
		nformation is submitted ort because:	I in response to the 200	05 Universal Sc	oring
\boxtimes	1.	Preliminary Scoring ar failure to achieve max failure to achieve max Subsection, and/or Exl	imum points, a failure imum proximity points	to achieve thres relative to the	shold, and/or a Part, Section,
			2005 Universal		ed by:
			Scoring Summary Report	Preliminary Scoring	NOPSE Scoring
		Reason Score Not Maxed	Item NoS		
		Reason Failed Threshold	Item No. 1T		⊠
		Reason Proximity Points Not Maxed	Item NoP		
	2.	Other changes are nec This revision or additi resulting from a cure t Exhibit (if appl	onal documentation is o Part Section _	submitted to ac	ldress an issue

Brief Statement of Explanation regarding Application 2005 – 035C

Provide a separate brief statement for each Cure or NOAD

In response to a NOPSE, FHFC determined that the "General Contractor or

Principal of General Contractor" form submitted by Applicant was not acceptable.

In accordance with the rule Chapter 67-48.004 (6), F.A.C., Applicant hereby submits a revised form for consideration. By submission of the foregoing, Applicant should be deemed to have met threshold.

GENERAL CONTRACTOR OR PRINCIPAL OF GENERAL CONTRACTOR CERTIFICATION

Name of Development: Evergreen Apa	artments		
Name of General Contractor: Summi	t Contractors, Inc.		
Name of principal of General Contra	ictor, if applicable:		
Address of General Contractor: 6877	Phillips Industrial Blvd.		
Jack	sonville, FL 32256		
Telephone of General Contractor: (9	004) 268-5500		
Florida License Number: CGC001271		Expiration of License	08/2006
			Date (mm/yyyy)
I certify that I am a General Contractor of Florida with the requisite skills, experthis Application and that I have been the development category and development 50 percent of the total number of units accompanying prior experience chart, at the requirements of the Federal Fair Rehabilitation Act of 1973 and Titles II 28 CFR 35, incorporating the most recurrequirements which apply or could an implementation of Florida's welfare refulfare-to-work or self-sufficiency type and to provide such substantiating documents force as may be requested by the I further certify my willingness and in process with the Applicant to act as information provided above is true and information provided above in true and information	rience and credit worth a General Contractor type, at least one of vision the Development and that I am knowledge Housing Act as impand III of the Americant amendments and opply to the proposed reform legislation, I be program participant amentation regarding the servicing agent in contract the General Contract the General Contract in the G	hiness to successfully produce on at least two completed which consists of a total nu proposed by this Applicate able of all federal, state a plemented by 24 CFR ans with Disabilities Act of other legislation, regulation Development. In recogniserify my willingness to in the construction of the incorporation of such p junction with construction good faith negotiations of	duce the units proposed by didevelopments of similar amber of units no less than ation, as evidenced by the and local requirements and 100, Section 504 of the f 1990 as implemented by ans, rules and other related antion and support of the encourage the hiring of the proposed Development, program participants in the loan draw disbursements.
NOTE: If the Applicant is a Public subject to the Competitive Consultant development execute this certification. Authorities or other regulated entities procedures. Public Housing Authorities procedures are public to the competition of the c	ts Negotiations Act, The intent of this to have an opportun ties without prior de	it may have the General provision is to allow ex- ity to meet threshold with velopment experience may	Contractor from a prior perienced Public Housing hout violation of bidding
MW WM	04-06-2005	Robert L. FLE	CLENSTEIN
General Contractor's Signature		Print or Type Name of	Signatory
S.M. Davin		S.M. Davis	
Witness to General Contractor's Signature	Date (mm/dd/yyyy)	Print or Type Name of	Signatory

If this certification contains corrections or 'white-out', or if it is scanned, imaged, altered, or retyped, the Application will fail to meet threshold and will be rejected. The certification may be photocopied.

Exhibit 13

GENERAL CONTRACTOR OR PRINCIPAL OF GENERAL CONTRACTOR CERTIFICATION

Name of Development: Park Terrace	e Apartments		
Name of General Contractor: Nain	nisha Construction, Inc.		
Name of principal of General Cont	ractor, if applicable: Dil	ip Barot	
Address of General Contractor: 42	43-B Northlake Blvd.		
Pa	ılm Beach Gardens, Florid	ia 33410	
Telephone of General Contractor:	(561) 627-3210		
Florida License Number: CBC05606	8	Expiration of License	08/2006
			Date (mm/yyyy)
this Application and that I have been development category and development 50 percent of the total number of uni accompanying prior experience chart, the requirements of the Federal Fai Rehabilitation Act of 1973 and Titles I 28 CFR 35, incorporating the most requirements which apply or could a implementation of Florida's welfare welfare-to-work or self-sufficiency type and to provide such substantiating doct work force as may be requested by the I further certify my willingness and it process with the Applicant to act as information provided above is true and NOTE: If the Applicant is a Public subject to the Competitive Consultant development execute this certification Authorities or other regulated entities procedures. Public Housing Authorities procedures. Public Housing Authorities or other regulated entities procedures.	at type, at least one of a ts in the Development and that I am knowledger Housing Act as im I and III of the Americ cent amendments and outply to the proposed reform legislation, I doe program participants umentation regarding the servicing agent in conjunctation to enter into a the General Contractorrect. Housing Authority was to have an opportunities without prior devices in the conjunction of this to have an opportunities without prior devices and the contractor of the conjunction of the conjunctio	which consists of a total not proposed by this Applicate the proposed by this Applicate the proposed by the Applicate the proposed by 24 CFR ans with Disabilities Act of the legislation, regulation Development. In recognizerity my willingness to so in the construction of the incorporation of such proposed by the proposed Development by the proposed Development exist may have the General provision is to allow expect to meet threshold with relopment experience mutualing cycle. Sanjeev H Mangoli Print or Type Name of	amber of units no less that ation, as evidenced by the and local requirements and 100, Section 504 of the figure of 1990 as implemented by ms, rules and other related inition and support of the encourage the hiring of the proposed Development or organ participants in the loan draw disbursements or participate in a bidding evelopment and that the proposed Public Housing hout violation of bidding ast joint venture with an Signatory
Witness to General Contractor's Signature	Date (nlm/del/yyyy)	Print or Type Name of	Signatory

If this certification contains corrections or 'white-out', or if it is scanned, imaged, altered, or retyped, the Application will fail to meet threshold and will be rejected. The certification may be photocopied.

EXPERIENCE OF THE GENERAL CONTRACTOR NAIMISHA CONSTRUCTION, INC.



Caribbean West FHFC #FL-94-002 FHFC # 93L-050 Eastgale Apartments FHFC #2001-056C Gai	12140 S.W. 200 ^{III} Street South Miami Heights, Florida 33177		Design Type	Ilnita
		Substantial Rehabilitation	Garden	102
Marina Del Ray Apartments	1100 S.E. 43" Street Gainesville, Florida 32641	New Construction	Garden	140
	265 Fathom Loop Beverly Hills, FL 34465	New Construction	Garden	100
	501 S.E. 18 th Street Gainesville, Florida 32641	Substantial Rehabilitation	Garden	91
ach)2 05C	2299 10 th Road, S.W. Vero Beach, Florida 32960	New Construction	Garden	176
Peacock Run FHFC #FL-2002-522C Port FHFC # FL-2001-MF-024	5502 East Torino Parkway Port St. Lucie, Florida 34986	New Construction	Garden	264

As of: 08/24/2005

File # 2005-060C

Development Name: Park Terrace Apartments

jö g App	Total Points	Met Threshold?	Proximity Tie- Breaker Points	Corporation Funding per Set- Aside Unit	SAIL Request Amount as Percentage of Development Cost	Is SAIL Request Amount Equal to or Greater than 10% of Total Development Cost?
08 - 24 - 2005	99	>	7.5	\$51,039.63	%	Z
Preliminary	99	Z	7.5	\$51,039.63	%	Z
NOPSE	61	Z	7.5	\$51,039.63	%	Z
Final	99	>	7.5	\$51,039.63	%	Z
Final-Ranking	99	>	7.5	\$51,039.63	%	Z

Scores:

Item #	Part	Section	Item # Part Section Subsection Description	Description	Available	Preliminary	NOPSEF	Available Preliminary NOPSE Final Final Ranking	ing
					Points				
				Optional Features & Amenities					
18	Ξ.	В	2.a.	New Construction	6	6	6	6	
18	≊	В	2.b.	Rehabilitation/Substantial Rehabilitation	6	0	0	0 0	
28	=	13	2.c.	Ali Developments Except SRO	12	12	12	12 12	
52	=	മ	2.d.	SRO Developments	12	0	0	0 0	
38	=	В	2.e.	Energy Conservation Features	5	6	6	6	
				Set-Aside Commitments					
45	≡.	ш	1 b.	Total Set-Aside Percentage	က	3	3	3 3	
58	≞	ш	1.c.	Set-Aside Breakdown Chart	5	5	5	5 5	
89	a.	Ē	3	Affordability Period	5	5	5	5 5	
				Resident Programs					
7.5	E	ц.	-	Programs for Non-Elderly & Non-Homeless	9	9	9	9 9	
7.5	=	Щ	2	Programs for Homeless (SRO & Non-SRO)	9	0	0	0 0	
7.8	=	F	3	Programs for Elderly	9	0	0	0	
8S	=	ц.	4	Programs for All Applicants	8	8	80	8	
				Local Government Support					
38	2		a.	Contributions	5	5	0	5 5	
10S	≥		þ.	Incentives	4	4	4	4	

As of: 08/24/2005

File # 2005-060C

Development Name: Park Terrace Apartments

Reason(s) Scores Not Maxed:

Item #	Reason(s)	Created As Result	Created As Result Rescinded as Result
98 8	The Applicant submitted a Local Government Verification of Contribution - Grant form reflecting a grant in the amount of \$200,000 as evidence of its only Local Government contribution. At the Development Location line of the form, the Applicant must provide, at a minimum, "the address number, street name and city, or if the address has not yet been assigned, provide the street name, closest designated intersection and city." However, the Applicant did not provide the city in which the proposed Development would be located. Therefore, the \$200,000 grant is not considered a Local Government contribution or source of funding.	NOPSE	Final

Threshold(s) Failed

Illestiola(s) ralled.	(s)	allen.					
item # I	Part	Section	Item # Part Section Subsection	Description	Reason(s)	Created As Result of	Created As Result Rescinded as Result of
11)	0	1	Site Plan Approval	The Applicant failed to provide the required Local Government Verification of Status of Preliminary Site Plan Approval for Multifamily Developments form.		Final
27		()	4	Zoning	The Applicant failed to provide the required Local Government Verification that Development is Consistent with Zoning and Land Use Regulations form.	Preliminary	Final
31	<u>m</u>	m	n	General Contractor	The person that signs the General Contractor or Principal of General Contractor Certification form must be the same person that the Florida license number stated on the form was assigned to. The Applicant submitted a General Contractor or Principal of General Contractor Certification form with a Florida license number that is assigned to Robert P. Snow, but the form is signed by Sanjeev H. Mangoli. The form has the incorrect signatory for the Florida license number provided and, therefore, is not acceptable.	NOPSE	Final

Proximity Tie-Breaker Points:

Item #	# B	irt Section	Item # Part Section Subsection Description	Description	Available	Preliminary	NOPSE	Final	Available Preliminary NOPSE Final Final Ranking
1b	=	∢	(10.a.(2)(a)	Grocery Store	1.25	1.25	1.25	1.25	1.25
2P	≞	A	10.a.(2)(b)	Public School	1.25	1.25	1.25	1.25	1.25
36	≡	٨		Medical Facility	1.25	0	0	0	0
4 _P	≞	4	[10.a.(2)(d)	Pharmacy	1.25	0	0	0	0
5P	≖	٨	10.a.(2)(e)	Public Bus Stop or Metro-Rail Stop	1.25	1.25	1.25 1.25	1.25	1.25
6Р	=	4	10.b.	Proximity to Developments on FHFC Development Proximity List	3.75	3.75	3.75	3.75	3.75

As of: 08/24/2005

File # 2005-060C

Development Name: Park Terrace Apartments

File #	File # 2005-060C	ă	Development Name: Park Terrace Apartments	partments		
Addition	nal Applicat	Additional Application Comments:	;;			
Item #	Part Section	Item # Part Section Subsection	Description	Reason(s)	Created As Result	Created As Result Rescinded as Result
10	∢	1(a)(1) ex 43	1(a)(1) ex 43 Local Government Contribution	The Applicant provided a Local Government Verification of Contribution - Grant form NOPSE reflecting a grant in the amount of \$200,000. This grant is not considered a Local Government contribution or a source of funding. However, the Applicant continues to have sufficient sources to equal or exceed uses.	NOPSE	Final

2005 CURE FORM

(Submit a SEPARATE form for EACH reason relative to EACH Application Part, Section, Subsection, and Exhibit)

	Cure For	rm is being submitted w	ith regard to Applica	ition No. <u>200</u>	<u>5-</u> 060C and
Part	_II_	Section B_ Subsec	etion 3 Exhibit No. 13	(if applicable)	
		information is submitted port because:	d in response to the 20	05 Universal So	coring
\boxtimes	1.	Preliminary Scoring as failure to achieve max failure to achieve max Subsection, and/or Ex	imum points, a failure imum proximity point	to achieve thres s relative to the	shold, and/or a Part, Section,
			2005 Universal		ed by:
			Scoring Summary Report	Preliminary Scoring	NOPSE Scoring
		Reason Score Not Maxed	Item NoS		
		Reason Failed Threshold	Item No. 3T		
		Reason Proximity Points Not Maxed	Item NoP		
	2.	Other changes are necessary of the revision or additional resulting from a cure to Exhibit (if applications)	onal documentation is o Part Section _	submitted to ad	dress an issue

The General Contractor Certification is being resubmitted with the signature of Robert P. Snow per the request of Florida Housing.

GENERAL CONTRACTOR OR PRINCIPAL OF GENERAL CONTRACTOR CERTIFICATION

Name of Development: Park Terrace	A partments		
Name of General Contractor: Naimis	sha Construction , Inc.		
Name of principal of General Contra	actor, if applicable: Dili	p Barot	
Address of General Contractor: 4243	-B Northlake Blvd.		
Palr	n Beach Gardens, FL 33	410	
Telephone of General Contractor: (9	661) 627-3210		
Florida License Number: CBC056068		Expiration of License	08/2006
			Date (mm/yyyy)
this Application and that I have been the development category and development 50 percent of the total number of units accompanying prior experience chart, at the requirements of the Federal Fair Rehabilitation Act of 1973 and Titles II 28 CFR 35, incorporating the most recoverequirements which apply or could apsimplementation of Florida's welfare rewelfare-to-work or self-sufficiency type and to provide such substantiating documents work force as may be requested by the self-sufficiency type and to provide such substantiating documents force as may be requested by the self-sufficiency willingness and in process with the Applicant to act as information provided above is true and control of the Applicant is a Public NOTE: If the Applicant is a Public	type, at least one of was in the Development and that I am knowledge Housing Act as immand III of the America ent amendments and copy to the proposed efform legislation, I deprogram participants mentation regarding the servicing agent in conjutention to enter into the General Contractorrect.	which consists of a total nu- proposed by this Applica eable of all federal, state a plemented by 24 CFR in the swith Disabilities Act of ther legislation, regulation. Development. In recogniertify my willingness to in the construction of the incorporation of such punction with construction good faith negotiations of the for this proposed De- ith prior development ex-	mber of units no less than tion, as evidenced by the nd local requirements and 100, Section 504 of the f 1990 as implemented by ns, rules and other related nition and support of the encourage the hiring of e proposed Development, rogram participants in the loan draw disbursements. It participate in a bidding evelopment and that the perience, or is otherwise
subject to the Competitive Consultant development execute this certification. Authorities or other regulated entities procedures. Public Housing Authorities experienced development entity in order	s Negotiations Act, in The intent of this to have an opportunities without prior devite participate in this f	t may have the General provision is to allow exp ty to meet threshold with relopment experience mu	Contractor from a prior erienced Public Housing nout violation of bidding
General Contractor's Signature	04/18/2005	Robert P. Snow	G:
General Community s Signature	Date (mm/dd/yyyy)	Print or Type Name of	Signatory
- (h-	04/18/2005	Jason Larson	
Witness to Spheral Contractor's Signature	Date (mm/dd/yyyy)	Print or Type Name of	Signatory
Courtaction a pistrature			

If this certification contains corrections or 'white-out', or if it is scanned, imaged, altered, or retyped, the Application will fail to meet threshold and will be rejected. The certification may be photocopied.

Exhibit 13

Exhibit 13

GENERAL CONTRACTOR OR PRINCIPAL OF GENERAL CONTRACTOR CERTIFICATION

Name of Development:	Townpare at	t Okeechobee
Name of General Contractor: Finla	y Construction, LLC	
Name of principal of General Conti	ractor, if applicable: C	Christopher C. Finlay
Address of General Contractor: 430	O Marsh Landing Blvd	1
Po	nte Vedra, FL 32082	
Telephone of General Contractor:	(904) 280-0000	
Florida Liœnse Number: 660	B2870	Expiration of License OB/31/2005 Date (mm/yyyy)
of Florida with the requisite skills, expthis Application and that I have been development category and development 50 percent of the total number of unit accompanying prior experience chart, at the requirements of the Federal Fair Rehabilitation Act of 1973 and Titles II 28 CFR 35, incorporating the most requirements which apply or could a implementation of Florida's welfare welfare-to-work or self-sufficiency typand to provide such substantiating documents which apply or could a further certify my willingness and it further certify my willingness and it	erience and credit wo the General Contract- at type, at least one of its in the Development and that I am knowled ar Housing Act as it I and III of the Americant amendments and apply to the propose reform legislation, I be program participal umentation regarding servicing agent in contraction to enter into the General Contract	es 67-21 and/or 67-48, F.A.C., and licensed in the State orthiness to successfully produce the units proposed by tor on at least two completed developments of similar of which consists of a total number of units no less that ent proposed by this Application, as evidenced by the edgeable of all federal, state and local requirements and implemented by 24 CFR 100, Section 504 of the ricans with Disabilities Act of 1990 as implemented by dother legislation, regulations, rules and other relateded Development. In recognition and support of the I certify my willingness to encourage the hiring outs in the construction of the proposed Development gonjunction with construction loan draw disbursements to good faith negotiations or participate in a bidding tractor for this proposed Development and that the
subject to the Competitive Consultandevelopment execute this certification Authorities or other regulated entities	ats Negotiations Act, in the intent of this to have an opportu- ties without prior d	with prior development experience, or is otherwise t, it may have the General Contractor from a prior is provision is to allow experienced Public Housing unity to meet threshold without violation of bidding development experience must joint venture with an is funding cycle.
est fu		Christopher C. Finlay
General Contractor's Senature	Date (mm/dd/yyyy)	Print or Type Name of Signatory
Witness to Consort	02/15/2005	Print or Type Name of Signatory
Witness to General Contractor's Signature	Date (mm/dd/yyyy)	Print of Type Name of Signatory

If this certification contains corrections or 'white-out', or if it is scanned, imaged, altered, or retyped, the Application will fail to meet threshold and will be rejected. The certification may be photocopied.

UA1016 (Rev. ____-05)

Exhibit_____



The following is a chart of Finlay Construction, LLC's prior experience in the industry:

	Name of Development	Location	Development Category	Development Type	Total # of Units
1)	City Parc at West Oaks	Houston, TX	New Construction	Garden	168
2)	Southern Grove (Phase I)	Jacksonville, FL	New Construction	Garden	48
3)	Brookwood	Saginaw, MI	New Construction	Single-Family	60
4)	Main Street Townhomes	Paris, TX	New Construction	Townhomes	76

As of: 08/24/2005

File # 2005-090CS

Development Name: Townparc at Okeechobe

2000 000			ביים לאווים ויים ויים ויים ויים אווים מו העפריו החפם			
As Of:	Total Points	Met Threshold?	Proximity Tie- Breaker Points	Corporation Funding per Set- Aside Unit	SAIL Request Amount as Percentage of Development Cost	Is SAIL Request Amount Equal to or Greater than 10% of Total Development Cost?
08 - 24 - 2005	99	z	3.75	\$55,264.15	4.18%	Z
Preliminary	62	z	0	\$55,264.15	4.18%	Z
NOPSE	62	z	0	\$55,264.15	4.18%	Z
Final	99	z	3.75	\$55,264.15	4.18%	Z
Final-Ranking	99	z	3.75	\$55,264.15	4.18%	Z

Appendix J

Scores:

tem #	Part	Continue	:					
		HORDAG	Subsection	Item # Part Section Subsection Description	Available Preliminary NOPSE Final Final Ranking Points	ary NOPS	E Final Fin	al Ranking
				Optional Features & Amenities				
18	III E	В	2.a.	New Construction	6	6 6	6	5
18	=======================================	В	2.b.	Rehabilitation/Substantial Rehabilitation	6	0	-	0
25	=	8	2.c.	All Developments Except SRO			Ĺ	12
25	III	В	2.d.	SRO Developments	12	-	-	0
38	= =	В	2.e.	Energy Conservation Features	6	6	6	6
				Set-Aside Commitments			-	
45	±	Li	1.b.	Total Set-Aside Percentage	3	3 3	3	3
55	=	LIJ.	1.c.	Set-Aside Breakdown Chart	5	5 5	5	r.
98	3	E	(3	Affordability Period	5	5 5	2	9
				Resident Programs				
7.5	=		-	Programs for Non-Elderly & Non-Homeless	9	9 9	9	9
7.8	Ξ.		2	Programs for Homeless (SRO & Non-SRO)	9	0	0	0
\$2	III	u	3	Programs for Elderly	9	0	0	0
8S	=		4	Programs for All Applicants	8	8	8	8
				Local Government Support				
98	2		a.	Contributions	2	5 5	5	5
10S	≥		<u>ا</u>	Incentives	4	0 0	4	4

As of: 08/24/2005

File # 2005-090CS

Development Name: Townparc at Okeechobee

Reason(s) Scores Not Maxed:

tem #	# Reason(s)	Created As Result	Created As Result Rescinded as Result
108	The Local Government Verification of Affordable Housing Incentives forms provided by the Applicant are not completed and not signed. Therefore, zero points Preliminary were awarded.	Preliminary	Final

Threshold(s) Failed:

Thres	hola(\$	Threshold(s) Failed:					
Item #	# Par	t Section	Item # Part Section Subsection	Description	Reason(s)	Created As Result of	Created As Result Rescinded as Result of
+	≡	U		Site Plan Approval	The Applicant failed to provide a completed and executed Local Government Verification of Status of Site Plan Approval for Multifamily Developments form.	Preliminary	Final
21	=	O	2	Site Control	The Applicant failed to provide a contract that meets the requirements of a qualified contract as stated in the Universal Application Instructions. The document submitted by the Applicant is an incomplete, unsigned, and undated Agreement for Purchase and Sale that appears to be a draft.	Preliminary	Final
31	=	O	2	Site Control	The Applicant provided a 1/16/05 Assignment and Assumption of Agreement of Sale. This document purports to assign to the Applicant all right, title and interest in and to a 2/16/05 Purchase and Sale Agreement (as amended) between Frank Altobello, Trustee, et al, and Finlay Acquisitions, LLC. The Applicant has not provided a copy of a complete and executed 2/16/05 Purchase and Sale Agreement nor any amendments thereto.	Preliminary	Final
41	=	O	3.a.	Availability of Electricity	The Applicant failed to provide a completed and executed Verification of Availability of Infrastructure - Electricity form.	Preliminary	Final
51	=_	O	3.d.	Availability of Roads	The Applicant failed to provide a completed and executed Verification of Availability of Infrastructure - Roads form.	Preliminary	Final
<u>бт</u>	=	O	4	Zoning	The Applicant failed to provide a completed and executed Local Government Verification that Development is consistent with Zoning and Land Use Regulations form.	Preliminary	Final
77	≡	U	ري د	Environmental Safety	The Applicant failed to provide a completed and executed Verification of Environmental Safety Phase I Environmental Site Assessment form and, if applicable, the Verification of Environmental Safety Phase II Environmental Site Assessment form.	Preliminary	Final
18	=	⋖	ಣ	Developer	Applicant failed to provide for the Developer entity a list of the member(s), and officers, directors, members and shareholders of majority-in-interest or elected raging member(s) as of the Application Deadline as required at Part II.A.3. of the versal Application Instructions. The list must include warrant holders and/or on holders of the proposed Development.	NOPSE	Final
91	=	В	2.b.	Management Agent	The Applicant failed to provide the following required information for each listed	NOPSE	Final

As of: 08/24/2005

File # 2005-090CS

Development Name: Townparc at Okeechobee

Threshold(s) Failed:

Item	# Dar	Section	Hem # Part Section Subsection	Description	Reacon(s)	Created Ac Recult	Created As Result Rescinded as Result
}					(2)1122222	of	of
					property on the Management Agent Prior Experience Chart: 1) currently or formerly managing and, 2) the length of time managing.		
101	=	Ф	ල් ල්	General Contractor	The person that signs the General Contractor or Principal of General Contractor Certification form must be the same person that the Florida license number stated on the form was assigned to. The Applicant submitted a General Contractor or Principal of General Contractor Certification form with a Florida license number that is assigned to Bruce James Moffat, but the form is signed by Christopher C. Finlay. The form has the incorrect signatory for the Florida license number provided and, therefore, is not acceptable.	NOPSE	Final
T11	=	a	3.a.	General Contractor		NOPSE	Final
121	=	a	3.a.	General Contractor	The person that signs the General Contractor or Principal of General Contractor Certification form must be the same person that the Florida license number stated on the form was assigned to. As a cure for Item 10T, the Applicant submitted a General Contractor or Principal of General Contractor Certification form with a Florida license number that is assigned to Robert Louis Fleckenstein, but the form is signed by Paul Sowders. The form has the incorrect signatory for the Florida license number provided and, therefore, is not acceptable.	Final	
13.	=	Δ.	ස් ප	General Contractor	The General Contractor Prior Experience Chart, provided with the Applicant's cure, represents the experience of Paul Sowders, the person who signed the General Contractor or Principal Contractor Certification Form. The requirement is that the Contractor Prior Experience Chart represent the experience of the licensed General Contractor Prior Experience Chart represent the experience of the licensed General Contractor or Principal Contractor Certification Form. As the Florida license number provided on the General Contractor Certification form was not assigned to Paul Sowders, it cannot be determined that the Prior Experience Chart provided reflects the experience of a properly licensed General Contractor. As such, it cannot be accepted.	Final	
141		O	5	Site Control	The Applicant provided a copy of an Assignment and Assumption of Agreement of Sale (Assignment) dated 15th day of April, 2005 in an attempt to cure the deficiency identified in Item 2T. The Assignment provided is not properly executed. Finlay interest 35, LLC executed the Assignment as the general partner of the Applicant (i.e., the limited partnership Finlay Interests 35, Ltd.). However, the organizational structure of the Applicant noted the managing general partner as Finlay Interests GP 35, Ltd. With the Agreement for Purchase and Sale not being in the Applicant's	Final	Final Ranking

As of: 08/24/2005

File # 2005-090CS

Development Name: Townparc at Okeechobee

Threshold(s) Failed:

roximity Tie-Breaker Poin

Item# Part Section Subsection Description Available Preliminary NOPSE Final Final Ranking 1P III A 10a.(2)(a) Grocery Store 0 <t< th=""><th></th><th>,</th><th></th><th>6</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th></t<>		,		6						
III A 10 a.(2)(a) Grocery Store 0 <th>Item #</th> <th>Part</th> <th>Section</th> <th>Subsection</th> <th>Description</th> <th>Available</th> <th>Preliminary</th> <th>NOPSEF</th> <th>inalF</th> <th>inal Ranking</th>	Item #	Part	Section	Subsection	Description	Available	Preliminary	NOPSEF	inalF	inal Ranking
III A 10.a.(2)(b) Public School 0 <td>1P</td> <td>≡</td> <td>A</td> <td>10.a.(2)(a)</td> <td>Grocery Store</td> <td>1.25</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td>	1P	≡	A	10.a.(2)(a)	Grocery Store	1.25	0	0	0	0
A 10.a.(2)(c) Medical Facility 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	2P	=	4	10.a.(2)(b)	Public School	1.25	0	0	0	0
II A 10.a.(2)(d) Pharmacy 1.25 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	35	≡	A		Medical Facility	1.25	0	0	0	0
A 10.a (2)(e) Public Bus Stop or Metro-Rail Stop 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	4P	Ξ.	A		Pharmacy	1.25	0	0	0	0
III A 10.b. Proximity to Developments on FHFC Development Proximity List 3.75 0 0 3.75	5P	=	A		Public Bus Stop or Metro-Rail Stop	1.25	0	0	0	0
	6P	=	A		Proximity to Developments on FHFC Development Proximity List	3.75	0	0	3.75	3.75

Reason(s) for Failure to Achieve Selected Proximity Tie-Breaker Points:

Item #	Reason(s)	Created As Result	Created As Result Rescinded as Result
		oŧ	oę
ηb	Applicant did not provide a completed Surveyor Certification Form.	Preliminary	Final
1	Applicant did not provide required sketches.	Preliminary	Final
16	Applicants are to provide the latitude/longitude coordinates for an exterior public entrance to the scryice. The sketch provided in an attempt to cure Item 1P Final appears to show a point that is not on a public entrance doorway threshold.	inal	
2P	Applicant did not provide a completed Surveyor Certification Form.	Preliminary	Final
2P	Applicant did not provide required sketches.	Preliminary	Final
2p	Applicants are to provide the latitude/longitude coordinates for an exterior public entrance to the service. The sketch provided in an attempt to cure Item 2P Final appears to show a point that is not on a public entrance doorway threshold.	inal	
35	Applicant did not provide a completed Surveyor Certification Form.	Preliminary	Final
3Р	Applicant did not provide required sketches.	Preliminary	Final
4p	Applicants are to provide the latitude/longitude coordinates for an exterior public entrance to the service. The sketch provided appears to show a point that	Final	

As of: 08/24/2005

File # 2005-090CS

Development Name: Townparc at Okeechobee

Reason(s) for Failure to Achieve Selected Proximity Tie-Breaker Points:

Item #	Reason(s)	Created As Result of	Created As Result Rescinded as Result of
45			
	is not on a public entrance doorway threshold.		S . W. v. dicesson
99	6P Applicant did not provide a completed Surveyor Certification Form.	Preliminary	Final
Addition	Additional Application Comments:		

	Created As Result Rescinded as Result	
	Created As Result	Final
	Reason(s)	During the Preliminary phase of the Application process, the Applicant sought points Final for the Grocery Store, Public School and Medical Facility. As part of the Cure, the Applicant modified those choices to include Pharmacy instead of Medical Facility.
Ġ	Description	Proximity
Additional Application confinence	Subsection	10.b.
אלא	art Section Su	⋖
a	Part	=
	Item # P	5

2005 CURE FORM

(Submit a SEPARATE form for EACH reason relative to EACH Application Part, Section, Subsection, and Exhibit)

This Cur pertains t	n is being submitted w	ith regard to Applica	tion No. <u>200</u>	5-090CS and
Part _II_	Section B_ Subsec	tion 3.a Exhibit No.	13 (if applicable)	
	nformation is submitted ort because:	d in response to the 200	05 Universal Sc	coring
□ 1.	failure to achieve max failure to achieve max	nd/or NOPSE scoring a imum points, a failure imum proximity points hibit stated above. Che	to achieve thres relative to the	shold, and/or a Part, Section,
		2005 Universal		ed by:
		Scoring Summary Report	Preliminary Scoring	NOPSE Scoring
	Reason Score Not Maxed	Item No. S		
	Reason Failed Threshold	Item No. <u>11</u> T		⊠ [^]
	Reason Proximity Points Not Maxed	Item NoP		
□ 2.	This revision or addition	essary to keep the Apponal documentation is a Part Section _ cable).	submitted to ad	dress an issue

Brief Statement of Explanation regarding Application 2005 – <u>090CS</u>

Provide a separate brief statement for each Cure or NOAD

The Applicant is providing a completed and executed General Contractor

Certification form and the corrected Prior Experience Chart. Therefore, the meets

this threshold requirement.

GENERAL CONTRACTOR OR PRINCIPAL OF GENERAL CONTRACTOR CERTIFICATION Name of Development: Iounpair at Obserbobse

Name of Development: Iconpare at Obserhobse
Name of General Contractor: Summit Contractors, Inc.
Name of principal of General Contractor, if applicable:
Address of General Contractor: 6877 Phillips Industrial Boulevard
Jacksonville, FL J2256
Telephone of General Contractor: 904-268-5500
Florida License Number: CG (mm/yyyy) Expiration of License 08 31 06
this Application and fast I have been the General Contractor on at least two completed developments of similar development category and development type, at least one of which consists of atotal number of units no less than 50 peacent of the total number of units in the Development proposed by this Application, as evidenced by the accompanying prior experience chart, and that I am knowledgeable of all federal, state and local requirements and the requirements of the Redwall Fair Housing Act as implemented by 24 CFR 100, Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 and Titles II and III of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 as implemented by 28 CFR 35, incorporating the most recent amendments and other legislation, regulations, rules and other related requirements which apply or could apply to the proposed Development. In recognition and support of the implementation of Florida's welfare reform legislation, I certify my willingness to encourage the hiring of welfare-to-work or self-sufficiency type program participants in the construction of the proposed Development, and to provide such substantiating documentation regarding the incorporation of such program participants in the work force as may be requested by the servicing agent in conjunction with construction loan draw disturgments. I further certify my willingness and intention to enter into good faith regotiations or participants in a bidding process with the Applicant to act as the General Contractor for this proposed Development and that the information provided above is true and correct.
NOTE: If the Applicant is a Public Housing Authority with prior development experience, or is otherwise subject to the Competitive Consultants Negotiations Act, it may have the General Contractor from a prior development execute this certification. The intent of this provision is to allow experienced Public Housing Authorities of other negulated entities to have an opportunity to meet threshold without violation of bidding procedures. Bubble Housing Authorities without prior development experiences must joint venture with an experienced development entities in order to participate in this funding cycle.
TM Q OR IN CS PAUL SOWDERS
General Contractor's Signature Date (manded) Print of Type Name of Signatory
Witness to Concret Des providery
Witness to General Due (num/dd/yyyr) Print of Type Name of Signatory Contractor's Signature
If this restification contains corrections or white-our, or if it is scanned, imaged, sitered, or retyped, the Application will full

UA1016 (Rev. ____-05)

SHALL MEASURE	The Gables of Mannalla	Teller Village	Tabor Ranch Apartments	Summit Place Apartments	Stonebridge Apartments	ourings At Braden	Spirites At alconinggale	Coring of the Mage	South Clary William Apartments	Size Liver Diook Apartments	regency Apartments	Red Cedar Estates	Pinnacle At Abby Park	Orchard Park Apartments	Northside Apartments	Meadows of Milan	wapie Terrace Apartments	Waste Transport	Madison Bides	Madison Monday	akawood Tarran Anada	Kape halos Court	laroha Crossler	Hunter's Charles	Gienstine Coun	cilleraid Points	Cottonwood Apartments	Camurage Heights Apartments	bridgewater Place Apartments	Amper Folite Aparments	Ambar Dointe Areast	Diexander at Standard	Movember Pides	Alexander Crossing Aparments	NAME OF PROJECT
Maumelle, AR	100000	Oak Ridne TN	North Platte, NE	Biloxi, MS	Jacksonville, IL	Bradenton, FL	Brandon, FL	Minot, ND	West Palm Beach, FL	Brownsville, TN	Pompano Beach, FL	River Falls, Wi	West Palm Beach, FL	Ruskin, FL	Tyler, TX	Milan, TN	Philippi, WV	Dixon, TN	Statesboro, GA	Belton, MO	Kansas City, MO	Crossville, TN	Thomasville, GA	Houston, TX	Glenpool, OK	Dayton, TN	Gering, NE	Buckhannon, WV	Oakland Park, FL	Prichard, AL	Lithonia, GA	Canton, GA	Lawrenceville, GA	Loganville, GA	Location
New Construction	New Construction	New Construction	Now Consuderion	New Constantin	New Construction	New Construction	New Construction	New Construction	New Construction	New Construction	New Construction	New Construction	New Construction	New Construction	New Construction	New Construction	New Construction	New Construction	New Construction	New Construction	New Construction	New Construction	New Construction	New Construction	New Construction	New Construction	New Construction	New Construction	New Construction	New Construction	New Construction	New Construction	New Construction	New Construction	Development Category
Multi-Family Apartments	Multi-Family Apartments	Multi-Family Apartments	Multi-Family Apartments	Mulic-Callilly Apartments	Multi-rainly Aparments	Marie alimit Aparmients		Multi-Family Appelmants	Multi-Eamily Apparations	Multi-Eamily Anadmont	Multi-Early Apartments	Mark Farmy Apartments	Main South Apartments	Mail Emily Aperments	Militi Family Apartments	Middle Sally Apartments	Marie Tarrity Apartments	Mulii-Eamily Apartments	Mulli-Eamly Apartments	Multi-Family Apartments	Multi-Eamily Apartment	Multi-Family Apartments	Multi-Family Anadronic	Multi-Family Apartments	Multi-Family Apartments	Multi-Carrier Apartments	Music Family Apartments	Mid-Nise Apartments	Mid-Bins Aparments	Multi Estally Apartments	Multi-Eamily Application	Multi-Family Apparations	Multi-Remily Apartments	Multi-Family Anadamant	
Garden Style	Carrier Carlo	Duplex/Quad	Garden Style	Garden Style	Garden Style	Garden Style	Duplex/Quad	Duplex/Quad	Garden Style	Garden Style 4	Garden Style	Garden Style	Garden Style	Garden Style	Garden Style	Garden Style	Garden Style	Garden Style	Garden Style	Garden Style	Garden Style	Garden Style	Garden Style	Garden Style	Garden Style	Garden Style	Garden Style	Mid-Rise with elevator	Garden Style	Garden Style	Garden Style	Garden Style	Townhouses	DEVELOPMENT TYPE	
120	49	1	48	60	5.8	247	48	230	48	94	48	160	84	96	48	49	80	120	152	192	64	112	192	48	64	49	49	343	24	282	272	224	240	Number of Units	

NAME OF PROJECT	Location	Development Category	Development Style	DEVELOPMENT TYPE	Number of linite
he Greens At Pebble Creek	Mustang, OK	New Construction	Multi-Family Apartments	Garden Style	90
The Meadows	West Memphis, AR	New Construction	Multi-Family Apartments	Garden Style	120
The Meridan	Hollywood, FL	New Construction	Multi-Family Apartments	Garden Style	180
Village At Mendota	Mendota, CA	New Construction	Multi-Family Apartments	Garden Style	84
Villas of Cordoba	Austin, TX	New Construction	Multi-Family Apartments	Duplex/Quad	156
Washington Square Apartments	Chowchilla, CA	New Construction	Multi-Family Apartments	Garden Style	57
West Oaks Apartments	Housion, TX	New Construction	Multi-Family Apartments	Garden Style	168
Willow Creek Aparlments	Northport, FL	New Construction	Multi-Family Apartments	Garden Style	120
Willow Creek II	Northport, FL	New Construction	Multi-Family Apartments	Garden Style	104