

From: Shawn Wilson [<mailto:swilson@blueskycommunities.com>]
Sent: Thursday, August 18, 2016 2:57 PM
To: Ken Reecy <Ken.Reecy@floridahousing.org>
Cc: Scott Macdonald <smacdonald@blueskycommunities.com>
Subject: 9% Geo RFAs

Ken, I may have brought this up in the past, but I am now bringing it up again so see if the thinking has changed.

There are a number of properties that do not have RA, but they qualify as Preservation. This makes them unable to compete in the regular geo rounds due to the Development Category Funding Preference. To add insult to injury, they are unable to compete in the Pres rounds because their RA level is 6.

Would you consider allowing these properties to answer “No” to the relevant question in the 9% Geo RFAs?

I think you can accomplish it by adding the following underlined phrase.

*The Applicant must indicate at question 5.c.(2)(b) of Exhibit A whether the existing building(s) to be rehabilitated was originally built in 1995 or earlier, the existing building(s) was either originally financed or is currently financed through one or more of the following HUD or RD programs: sections 202, 236, 514, 515, 516, 811, or either has PBRA or is public housing assisted through ACC, and the proposed Development did not close on funding from HUD or RD after 1995 where the budget was at least \$10,000 per unit for rehabilitation in any year, **and has an RA Level other than RA Level 6.***

Rehabilitation Applications (with or without Acquisition) that reflect an answer of “No” at question 5.c.(2)(b) of Exhibit A, as well as New Construction and Redevelopment (with or without Acquisition) Applications, will be eligible to be considered for the Development Category Funding Preference outlined in Section Four B of the RFA.



Shawn Wilson
President
Blue Sky Communities, LLC
5300 W. Cypress Street, Suite 200
Tampa, Florida 33607
Main 813-514-2100
Direct 813-384-4825
Mobile 561-301-3132
swilson@blueskycommunities.com