

From: Angela Hatcher [mailto:ahatcher@flynnmanagement.com]
Sent: Tuesday, February 17, 2015 4:08 PM
To: Ken Reecy; Candice Allbaugh; Nancy Muller; Steve Auger; Kevin Tatreau; Gayle White
Cc: "Tom Flynn"; 'Kevin Flynn'
Subject: Comments on RFA 2015-103 {Local Revitalization Initiatives}

We offer the following comments on RFA 2015-103.

Section Four A.5c (4) Development Category Funding Preference: This question includes 3 sections and in some cases can not be answered as all yes or all no. This is a Revitalization RFA and typically Revitalization initiatives include existing affordable housing properties. Section One of the Introduction states this RFA is to “*Rejuvenate an area*”, why give preference to New Construction over Rehab? The past 3 GEO RFAs and the past SAIL RFA give preference to New Construction developments. Please remove as a sorting preference.

Section Four 11. How the Proposed Development Aligns with Local Revitalization Initiatives:

This section allows up to 20 points if the plan or initiative was adopted on or before September 1, 2014. Please add flexibility to the adoption date or allow a portion of points for initiatives not adopted on or before September 1, 2014.

Application Sorting Order: I commend FHFC staff for revising the leveraging section as written in this RFA. This leveraging method should be used in future RFAs.

Thank you for your consideration.

Angela F. Hatcher
Flynn Development Corporation
516 Lakeview Road, Suite 8
Clearwater, Florida 33756
Direct Line: (727) 754-8461
Main Line: (727) 449-1182 Ext. 204
ahatcher@flynnmanagement.com
www.flynnmanagement.com