

2015-114 EHCL RFA Scoring Sheets

Scoring Items	Mandatory, Eligibility Requirement, Point Item, or Tie-Breaker?	Contributor/ Reporter	2016-314E	
Development Name			Oak Park	
Submission Requirements Met (Section Three A and Section Five)	Eligibility	Liz	Y	
2.a. Applicant Name provided	Mandatory	Liz	Y	
2.b. Evidence that Applicant is a legally formed entity provided	Mandatory		Y	
2.d. Applicant Principals provided	Mandatory		Y	
2.e. Contact information provided	Mandatory		Y	
3.a. Development Name provided	Mandatory		Y	
3.b.(1) Development County provided	Mandatory	Heather	Y	
3.b.(2) Development Address provided	Mandatory		Y	
3.c.(1) Total Number of units in existing Development provided	Mandatory		Y	
3.c.(2) Confirmation that Development is at least 90% occupied	Eligibility		Y	
3.c.(3) Total Number of residential buildings provided	Mandatory		Y	
3.d. Confirmation that Development was completed prior to January 1, 2000	Eligibility		Y	
4. Total set-aside breakdown chart (ELI & other set-asides and total) acceptable	Mandatory		Y	
5.a. First Mortgagee Certification provided	Mandatory		Karla	N
6.a. EHCL Request Amount provided	Mandatory			Y
6.b. Demonstration of at least 5% Match funding commitment	Eligibility			Y
6.d. Development Cost Pro Forma free from shortfalls	Mandatory	Y		
Financial Arrears Met (Section Five)	Eligibility	Kenny	Y	
All Mandatory Elements Met?	Eligibility	Yes or No	N	
All Eligibility Requirements Met?		Yes or No	N	
6.c.(1) Qualifies for the preference that the Application was not previously funded in the EHCL program? (Y/N)	Tie-Breaker	Karla	Y	
3.d. Qualifies for the preference that the Development was completed prior to January 1, 1990? (Y/N)	Tie-Breaker	Heather	Y	
5.b. Qualifies for the preference that the Development has existing financing through a HUD program (Y/N)	Tie-Breaker	Karla	N	
Qualifies for the Florida Job Creation Preference (Exhibit C) (Y/N)	Tie-Breaker		Y	
Lottery Number	Tie-Breaker		1	