

-----Original Message-----

From: ZDOG416@aol.com <ZDOG416@aol.com>

To: Steve Auger

CC: Vicki Robinson

Sent: Thu Sep 27 15:28:28 2007

Subject: another comment on 2008 universal cycle

Steve

During the 2007 cycle, a NOAD was filed under the following circumstances. For both the local government incentives (1) verification of expedited permitting and (2) verification of modification of fee requirements, the applicant submitted forms signed by the county, even though the city clearly has those incentives. The forms (exhibits) seemed very clear that if the city has those incentives, the city must sign-- and that the county may be used only if the city does not have the incentives. While not the subject of the NOAD, another of the incentive forms has similar language.

In conversations with staff, I was informed that while this was the intent, staff and counsel concluded that the forms were insufficiently clear and that the intent was not absolutely spelled out in exact language.

I see no correction to this problem in the new application.

Mark Hendrickson  
1404 Alban Avenue  
Tallahassee, Florida 32301  
850.671.5601  
850.671.5603- fax

---

See what's new at AOL.com <<http://www.aol.com?NCID=AOLCMP00300000001170>> and Make AOL Your Homepage <<http://www.aol.com/mksplash.adp?NCID=AOLCMP00300000001169>> .