### BEFORE THE FLORIDA HOUSING FINANCE CORPORATION

SP ST APARTMENTS LLC and SOUTHPORT DEVELOPMENT, INC. d/b/a SOUTHPORT DEVELOPMENT SERVICES, INC.,

Petitioners,

FHFC Case No. 2014-063BP

RFA 2014-103

VS.

FLORIDA HOUSING FINANCE CORPORATION,

Respondent.

14 MAR 31 PM 1:59
FLORIDA HOUSING

## FORMAL WRITTEN PROTEST AND PETITION FOR FORMAL ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING

Petitioners, SP ST Apartments LLC and Southport Development, Inc. d/b/a Southport Development Services, Inc. ("Southport") (collectively "Petitioners"), by and through undersigned counsel, file this Formal Written Protest and Petition for Formal Administrative Hearing ("Petition") pursuant to Section 120.57(3), Florida Statutes, and Rule 28-110.003, Florida Administrative Code. This Petition challenges the intended decision of Respondent, Florida Housing Finance Corporation ("Florida Housing") to disqualify Petitioners' proposed Serenity Towers development from eligibility for State Apartment Incentive Loan ("SAIL") funding to be awarded in response to Request for Applications 2014-103 for Financing of Affordable Multifamily Housing Developments with SAIL Funding to be Used in Conjunction With Tax-Exempt Bond Financing and Non-Competitive Housing Credits (the "RFA").

### **Parties**

1. Petitioner SP ST Apartments, LLC is a Florida limited liability company. Southport Development, Inc., d/b/a Southport Development Services, Inc., is a Washington

corporation authorized to transact business in Florida. Both have an address at 2430 Estancia Blvd., Suite 101, Clearwater, Florida 33761 and are named in, and submitted, the application for the Serenity Towers Apartments (Application No. 2014-314S). For purposes of this proceeding, Petitioners' address and telephone number are those of its undersigned counsel.

 Florida Housing is the agency affected by this Petition. Florida Housing's address is 227 N. Bronough Street, Suite 5000, Tallahassee, Florida 32301.

### **Statement of Ultimate Facts**

### **Background**

- 3. The Florida Legislature has provided Florida Housing with the power to underwrite state apartment incentive loans or loan guarantees to provide housing affordable to very-low-income persons. § 420.5087, Fla. Stat. (2013).
- 4. On January 10, 2014, Florida Housing issued the RFA seeking Applications from Applicants proposing the development of affordable multifamily housing using SAIL funding in conjunction with tax-exempt bond financing and non-competitive housing credits. [RFA § 1, p. 2].
- 5. The RFA indicates that Florida Housing expects to award \$32.5 million of SAIL funding with \$22.5 million distributed for Elderly Demographic Developments and \$10 million distributed for Family Demographic Developments. [RFA § 1, p. 2]. The RFA further provides that the SAIL funding will be distributed across large, medium and small geographic categories as follows: large counties 53.3%, medium counties 36.7%, and small counties 10%. [RFA § 1, p. 2]

- 6. Pursuant to the RFA, each Application is assigned a lottery number which may be relevant to funding determinations as described in more detail in paragraph 8 below. [RFA § 3, p. 3].
- 7. Section 5 of the RFA describes the process by which Applications will be evaluated and points awarded by a Review Committee. [RFA § 5, pp. 36-38]. Each Application can receive a maximum of 23 points. [RFA § 5, p. 37].
- 8. The Funding Selection process is set forth in Section 4.B. of the RFA. Developments eligible for funding are limited to those that meet certain eligibility requirements described throughout the RFA. [RFA § 4.B., p. 34]. Those Applications eligible for funding are then sorted and ranked in order from highest score to lowest score, with any tie scores separated as follows:
  - a. First by the Applicant's eligibility for the Per Unit construction Funding Preference;
  - b. Next by the Applicant's SAIL request amount per set-aside unit;
  - c. Next by the Applicant's SAIL Leveraging as a Percentage of the Total Development Cost;
  - d. Next by the Applicant's eligibility for the Florida Job Creation Preference; and
  - e. Finally, by lottery number.

[RFA § 4.B., p. 34]. Funding Tests and a County Test are also applied. Under the Funding Tests, Applications will only be selected for funding if there is enough funding available in both the geographic category and demographic category to fund the Applicant's SAIL request amount. [RFP § 4.B., p. 34]. Under the County Test, funding is limited to one Application per county, unless the only eligible Applications that can meet the Funding Tests are located in a county where an Application has already been tentatively selected for funding. [RFA § 4.B., p. 35].

- 9. The selection process identified in the RFA begins with Applications in the Small County Geographic Category for which Florida Housing has a goal to fund one new construction Development, followed by the Medium County Geographic and the Large County Geographic Category, in that order, with Florida Housing's goal to fund two new construction Developments in each of those two geographic categories. [RFA § 4.B., pp. 35-36].
  - 10. The deadline for receipt of applications was 11:00 a.m. on February 5, 2014.
- 11. Florida Housing received 34 applications in response to the RFA, including Petitioners' application for the Serenity Towers development ("Serenity Towers") (Application No. 2014-314S).
- 12. On or about February 18, 2014, Florida Housing published an "Application Submitted Report" on its website showing applications received by Florida Housing in response to the RFA. This report identifies Serenity Towers as Application No. 2014-314S, but other information on the report is missing for Serenity Towers, but not other Applicants, including the county, county size and development location street address. Petitioners have now learned that this information is missing because Florida Housing disqualified the Serenity Towers Application from funding under the RFA because although Florida Housing received four printed copies of the completed Serenity Towers Application by the deadline, it did not receive Exhibit A electronically.
- 13. Florida Housing's Review Committee for the RFA met on March 13, 2014.

  Because Florida Housing staff apparently had already disqualified the Serenity Towers

  Application, it was not scored or otherwise evaluated.
- 14. At the Board's March 14, 2014 meeting, the Review Committee and Staff Recommendations were presented to the Board, along with the 2014-103 SAIL RFA- Sorting

Order Chart showing the Applications listed in sorted order and identifying those Applications deemed ineligible for funding. One such Application identified as not eligible for funding is the Serenity Towers Application.

- 15. On March 14, 2014, Florida Housing's Board approved the Review Committee and Staff Recommendations.
- 16. At 1:38 p.m. on March 14, 2014, Florida Housing posted on its website its Notice of Intended Decision, consisting of two documents: (1) the RFA 2014-103 SAIL RFA -Sorting Order Chart; and (2) the 2014-103 SAIL RFA Review Committee and Staff Recommendations A copy of the Intended Decision (consisting of both documents) is attached as Exhibit "A."
- 17. On March 19, 2014, Petitioners timely filed a notice of their intent to protest Florida Housing's Intended Decision.
- 18. In accordance with Section 120.57(3), Florida Statutes, and Chapter 28-110, Florida Administrative Code, this Petition is being filed within 10 days of the date on which the notice of intent to protest was filed.
- 19. As described below, Petitioners' failure to ensure delivery of an electronic copy of Exhibit A to the Serenity Towers Application was a minor irregularity which Florida Housing should waive.

### Failure to Ensure Delivery of an On-Line Copy of Exhibit A Was a Minor Irregularity

20. Section 3 of the RFA provides that "[a] complete Application consists of Exhibit A of RFA 2014-103 and all applicable attachments, as outlined in Section Four of the RFA. The RFA directs Applicants to submit a completed online Exhibit A electronically and to also submit a sealed package containing "four (4) printed copies of the complete Application (consisting of

the submitted online Exhibit A and all applicable attachments) all by the Application deadline." [RFA § 3, p. 3].

- 21. It is undisputed that Florida Housing received a sealed package containing four printed copies of the complete Serenity Towers Application prior to the Application deadline. In fact, Florida Housing accepted and cashed the \$3,000 Application fee provided with the Serenity Towers Application. A copy of the cancelled check is attached to this Petition as Exhibit "B."
- Application, Petitioners believed they had also submitted a completed online Exhibit A electronically as Southport did for the five other developments for which it submitted Applications in response to the RFA. The online application system does not provide any receipt or e-mail confirmation that the online copy of the application has been received, so it was only after the Application deadline passed that Petitioners learned that Florida Housing apparently did not receive an electronic copy of Exhibit A for Serenity Towers.
- 23. The RFA reserves the right to Florida Housing to waive minor irregularities. [RFA § 3, p. 4]. Rule 67-60.002(6) defines a "minor irregularity" as "a variation in a term or condition of an Application pursuant to this rule chapter that does not provide a competitive advantage or benefit not enjoyed by other Applicants, and does not adversely impact the interest of [Florida Housing] or the public." Fla. Admin. Code R. 67-60.002.
- 24. Here, to the extent that Florida Housing did not receive an electronic copy of Exhibit A to the Serenity Towers Application, there was a minor irregularity with the Serenity Towers Application at best. Petitioners could not have received any competitive advantage or

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Those five other developments are Georgia Arms (Application No. 2014-311S), 400 Apartments (Application No. 2014-312S), Caravel Arms Apartments (Application No. 2014-313S), Harbour Court (Application No. 2014-317S), and Brookside Village (Application No. 2014-319S).

benefit because Petitioners submitted four paper copies of the complete Serenity Towers Application by the Application deadline and Petitioners, therefore, could not change or alter anything with respect to the Serenity Towers Application following the Application deadline. In addition, the fact that Florida Housing may not have received an electronic copy of Exhibit A to the Serenity Towers Application does not adversely impact the interests of Florida Housing or the public. The *identical* information that would have been contained in any electronic copy of Exhibit to the Serenity Towers Application was in the possession of Florida Housing (four times over) by the Application deadline. There is no information that an electronic copy of Exhibit A would have provided to Florida Housing which Florida Housing did not then already have in its possession.

25. Failure to submit a form is a minority irregularity that can be waived where it does not give one Applicant a competitive advantage over other Applicants or affect the interests of Florida Housing or the public. See Miami-Dade County Sch. Bd. v. J. Ruiz Sch. Bus Serv., Inc., 874 So. 2d 59, 60 (Fla. 3d DCA 2004) (failure of bidder to submit form was a minor irregularity). Moreover, failure to submit bid information in the proper electronic format has also been determined to be a minor irregularity that can be waived. Care Access PSN, LLC v. Agency for Health Care Adm., DOAH Case No. 13-4113BID, 2014 WL 5015 (Fla. Div. Admin. Hrgs. Recommended Order Jan. 2, 2014). For the foregoing reasons, the decision of Florida Housing to disqualify the Serenity Towers Application simply because Florida Housing did not receive Exhibit A in electronic format is clearly erroneous, contrary to competition, arbitrary and capricious.

### Issues of Fact and Law

- 26. The issues of fact and law at issue in this proceeding of which Petitioners are aware at this time include, but are not limited to:<sup>2</sup>
- (a) Whether Florida Housing received four paper copies of the complete Serenity Towers Application by the Application deadline in the RFA;
- (b) Whether Florida Housing did not receive an online Exhibit A to the Serenity Towers electronically by the Application deadline in the RFA;
- (c) Whether all information that would have been in the online Exhibit A transmitted electronically was included in the four paper copies of the Serenity Towers Application that Florida Housing received by the Application deadline;
- (d) Whether Petitioners received a competitive advantage or benefit not enjoyed by other Applicants by not confirming that Florida Housing received an electronic copy of Exhibit A to the Serenity Towers Application;
- (e) Whether the interests of Florida Housing or the public would be adversely impacted by Florida Housing not receiving an electronic copy of Exhibit A to the Serenity Towers Application identical to the four paper copies that Florida Housing did receive;
- (f) Whether an electronic transmission of Exhibit A would provide Florida Housing with any information relating to the Serenity Towers Application not already in Florida Housing's possession;

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Petitioners reserve the right to amend or supplement this Petition, including but not limited to, the disputed issues of material fact, to the extent that Petitioners learn of additional issues of material fact in the course of discovery or preparation for final hearing in this matter.

- (g) Whether Florida Housing's Intended Decision to disqualify the Serenity Towers Application is contrary to the RFA;
- (h) Whether Florida Housing's Intended Decision to disqualify the Serenity Towers Application is contrary to Rule 67-60.002(6);
- (i) Whether Florida Housing's Intended Decision to disqualify the Serenity Towers Application is clearly erroneous, contrary to competition, arbitrary or capricious.

### Notice of Florida Housing's Proposed Action

27. The Notice of Intended Decision was posted on Florida Housing's website at 1:38 p.m. on March 14, 2014.

### Substantial Interests Affected

28. Petitioners are adversely affected by Florida Housing's Intended Decision. Petitioners' Serenity Towers Application complies with all of the requirements of the RFA, with the exception that an electronic copy of Exhibit A to the Application was apparently not received by Florida Housing by the Application deadline. Nonetheless, Florida Housing received four complete paper copies of the Serenity Towers Application (including Exhibit A) by the Application deadline. Thus, there is no information that an electronic copy of Exhibit A would have provided to Florida Housing that was not already in Florida Housing's possession by the Application deadline. Petitioner did not realize any competitive advantage or benefit as a result of failure to ensure that an electronic copy of Exhibit A had been delivered to Florida Housing by the Application deadline, and Petitioner's failure to ensure delivery of an electronic copy of Exhibit A does not adversely affect Florida Housing or the public in general. Accordingly, to the extent that Florida Housing did not receive an electronic copy of Exhibit A to the Serenity

Towers Application, this was a minor irregularity that Florida Housing should have waived and its failure to do so affects Petitioners' substantial interests.

### Statutes and Rules that Entitle Petitioners to Relief

29. Petitioners are entitled to relief pursuant to Sections 120.569 and 120.57, Florida Statutes, Chapters 28-106, 28-110, 67-48 and 67-60, Florida Administrative Code; and the established decisional law of Florida courts, the Division of Administrative Hearings, and Florida administrative agencies.

### **Demand for Relief**

WHEREFORE, Petitioners respectfully request that Florida Housing:

- a. Provide an opportunity to resolve this Petition by mutual agreement within seven (7) business days, as provided in Section 120.57(3), Florida Statutes;
- b. Transfer this Petition to the Division of Administrative Hearings for a formal hearing conducted before an Administrative Law Judge pursuant to Sections 120.569 and 120.57, Florida Statutes, if this Petition cannot be resolved within seven (7) business days and if Florida Housing disputes any of the material facts stated herein; and
- C. Ultimately issue a Final Order finding that Petitioners' Serenity Towers

  Application is eligible for funding, withdrawing the Notice of Intended

  Decision, providing for scoring the Serenity Towers Application by the

  Review Committee, and revising Florida Housing's tentative funding

  decisions to reflect application of the Funding Selection process set forth

  in Section 4.B. of the RFA to all eligible Applications submitted in

response to the RFA including the Serenity Towers Application after it habeen scored.

Respectfully submitted this 31st day of March, 2014.

Lawrence E. Sellers, Jr. Florida Bar No. 300241

Karen D. Walker

Florida Bar No. 0982921

HOLLAND & KNIGHT LLP

315 S. Calhoun St., Suite 600 Tallahassee, Florida 32301

(850) 224-7000

(850) 224-8832 (facsimile)

larry.sellers@hklaw.com

karen.walker@hklaw.com

Attorneys for Petitioners

### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I HEREBY CERTIFY that the original of the foregoing Formal Written Protest and Petition for Formal Administrative Hearing was filed by hand-delivery with Ashley Black, Agency Clerk, and that a true and correct copy was provided by hand-delivery to Wellington Meffert, General Counsel, Florida Housing Finance Corporation 227 North Bronough Street, Suite 5000, Tallahassee, Florida 32301 all on this 31st day of March, 2014.

Lawrence E. Sellers, Jr.

## 2014-103 SAIL RFA - Sorting Order

offery Number	1	12	27	7	00	12	8	8	41	8	н	EI .	4
dol sbiroli noisesta sonsiesta		>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>
% se	4	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	٨	٨	>	Å	٨
194 Jeguest per Set-Aside		\$3,500.00	\$28,675.89	\$56,405.80	\$53,011.49	\$16,336.63	\$20,555.56	\$21,857.92	\$25,000.00	\$27,343.75	\$28,000.00	\$28,240.74	\$33,333.33
Per Unit Construction Funding Preference		>	>	۶	^	>	>	>	À	٨	٨	٨	٨
atrilog listoT		23.0	23.0	23.0	18,0	23.0	23.0	23.0	23.0	23.0	23.0	23.0	23.0
Faribane For Farings		>	>	>	>	>	۶	7	٨	>	>	>	>
fsaupas U3		\$750,000	\$675,000	\$675,000	\$825,000	\$750,000	\$675,000	\$1,500,000	\$1,575,000	\$525,000	\$375,000	\$750,000	\$675,000
Jeanpaß JIAS		\$350,000.00	\$2,408,774.50	\$3,892,000.00	\$4,612,000.00	\$1,650,000.00	\$1,850,000.00	\$4,000,000.00	\$4,200,000.00	\$1,750,000.00	\$1,400,000.00	00'000'050'E\$	\$3,000,000.00
abizA 192 letoT estinU		55	22	8	28	101	8	183	168	2	20	108	8
Demo. Commitment		4	w	u	u.	w	u.	ш	ш	ц	и	E .	w
Dev Category		₹ R	Ñ	NC	Š	A/R	Ą	A/R	NC	A/R	.¥	NC	NC
Name of Developers		HVPG Developers, LLC; Royal American Development, Inc.	E & N Development, Inc.; Toledo Development Group,	Atlantic Housing Partners, L.L.L.P.	Atlantic Housing Partners,	Southport Development, Inc., a Washington corporation doing business in Florida as Southport Development	Southport Development, Inc., a Washington corporation doing business in Florida as Southport Development	Preservation of Affordable Housing, U.C.	Atlantic Housing Partners, L.L.P.	Southport Development, Inc., a Washington corporation doing business in Florida as Southport Development	Southport Development, Inc., a Washington corporation doing business in Florida as Southport Development	Rosedale Holdings, LLC; H&H Residential Development, LLC	Norstar Development USA, LP; Punta Gorda Developers,
Name of Contact Person		Kimberly Murphy	Michael S. Jordan	Jay P. Brock	Jay P. Brock	Brianne E. Heffner	Brianne E. Heffner	Rodger Brown	Jay P. Brock	Brianne E. Heffner	Brianne E. Heffner	Stewart W. Rutledge	Paula M. Rhodes
County Size		S	S	5	s	Σ	Σ	Σ	×	Σ	Σ	Σ	Σ
Connty		Jackson	Putnam	Flagler	Flagler	Alachua	Seminole	Brevard	St. Lucle	Polk	ee	Leon	Charlotte
Vame of Developm <i>ent</i>	Eligible Applications (in sorted order)	Marianna Gardens	Azalea Gardens Apts.	Palm Breeze Apartments - Phase II	at Brookhaven	400 Apartments	Georgia Arms	Trinity Towers West	Grove Park Apartments	Harbour Court	Brookside Village	Brookestone I	The Verandas of Punta Gorda Charlotte
noisesilegA redmuif	Eligible Applica	2014-3275	2014-3265**	2014-3025	2014-3035	2014-3125	2014-3115	2014-3235	2014-3015	2014-3175	2014-3195	2014-3105	2014-307S

Page 1 of 3

			uN Yra	_	9	T	9 ;	I	7	T	25	T	7	T	4 1		, 7	31	28	ī
		1	ition erence	Cres	>	,	.   ,		>	T	>	T	>	1	_		$\exists$	(1)	-	ł
			onana) ot ebi	-		$\vdash$	+	1		+		L		L	$\perp$			^	^	
		% ss isou	oa.	1 10	^	_	>		>		^		>	١,		>		_		
				+	$\dashv$		-	_		1						9250			1	•
		luest per e	oe Asid t-Asid	95	>40,983.61	\$8,755.00	\$15,000.00	j	45,781.24		\$20,790.00	\$74 800 00	00:000	\$24,989.90		\$26,265.60	\$27,000.00	750 50	00.000	7169.81
	1				$\perp$					`	"	i		\$		\$2	125	Š	١	*
		2	nibnu refere	14		^	>				7		7		+			-	-	1
	-		er Uni	4						>		>		>		>	^	٨	>	1
	-		q leso	1 ~	1	73.0	23.0	23.0		23.0		23.0	+	23.0	1 6	2	23.0	23.0	23.0	
	-	107 9	eligibi nibnu	>	1	-  ;	-	>		>		>	1	>	,	-	7	7	۲	
		<b>1</b> sanb	ברו צפ	\$1,200,000	\$475,000	SEOU DOS	3	000		000	1	8	$\dagger$	8	8	1	8 1	R	2	
	1			\$1,20	9	, ag		\$1,200,000		\$1,800,000		\$1,500,000		\$750,000	\$1,125,000		\$750,000	2000,000	3825,000	
		1cont		00.000	50.00	00.00		99.00	T	0.00	1	900	1	90	8	1	3 8	1 5	1	
		sequest	JIVS	\$5,000,000.00	\$1,138,150.00	\$1,200,000.00		\$2,524,999.00		\$4,989,600.00		\$4,960,000.00		>2,4/4,000.00	\$3,939,840.00	¢2 E02 000 00	\$2,300,000,00	\$5,000,000,00		
		5	Juli	72	-	+	_		+		$\vdash$	<u>~</u>	1	*	ĘŠ,	5	S	\$5.0		
	-	mitment I Set Aside	COM	+	130	8		95	$\perp$	240	L	<u> </u>	å		150	98	80	106		
	-		Den	4	. E			<b>-</b>	L	- E		w	ш		ш	"	3	E		
	-	Category	ν∌Q :	¥	Redev.	S	<u> </u>	Ę	:	A/R	9	آ آ	Redev.	T	₹ K	NC	NC.	S S		
			lers,	1			ilon,			á l	les V		-	+	<b>8</b>	H	+	1		
		me of velopers	Atlantic Housing Partners,	Smathers Phase Two		Tacocly Economic	Development Corporation,	<u>ت</u> م	ice	1	Propert LC: HFF	ent, LLC	nomark Development rp.; Baobab Developm		Associat	ers, LLC	Development IV 11C			
1		•	K Hous	ers Pha	Developer, IIC	acocly Economic	pment (	Development In	ral Terra		Thwest ment, L	welopm	X Devel	dgho	pment	Partie of	opmen o			
1			Atlam	Smathe	Devel	Tago.	Develo	Development Inc	Cathedral Terrace	y H	APC Northwest Properties V Development, LLC: HEF-DIVID	Court Development, LLC	Corp.; Baobab Development,	Peterborough	Redevelopment Associates	Tookston	APC Development IV 11C			
l		erson		1	ş		yer			T					-		П			
l	tastn	oo to amel	Jay P. Brock	Alberto Milo, Jr.	Stephen A. Frick		as R. Ma		Shawn Wilson	I	29		20 A. Roj	Willen	Marios	L Wol				
$\vdash$		ezis Ajuno	M Jay	L Albe	Step		L Douglas R. Mayer	1	Shawr		L Liz Wong		Francisco A. Rojo	Shawn Wilson	Mara S. Mariae	Jonathan L. Wolf	L Liz Wong			
			$\vdash$	-	H	_	_	+	_	+	_	+	7	-7	F	-				
		Conuty	Osceola	Miami-Dade	Duval		Mlami-Dade		ē		Broward		Palm Beach	as	Miami-Dade	Hilsborough	Miami-Dade			
V/A			0	2	ă		<u> </u>	+	Duvai	-	Bro	-		Pinellas	Mlarr	星	Miam			
	}uat	Developn	lents	<u>%</u>	1					١,	>	ar Senic				1				
		Name of	p Apartn	s Phase	S	ove !			retrace	į	Sarden	ce Dun		₽		1				
			The Loop Apartments	Smathers Phase Two		Tuscamy Cove		Cathodral To		Thursday	ornwest Gardens V	Paul Laurence Dunbar Senior	Xaldwo	Peterborough	Coquina Place Haley bad.	A LANGE				
			T	T			7		T			E .	3	Pet	8 3	Clebo				
	noi	Applicat	2014-304S	2014-3055		2014-3255		2014-3245		2014-3225		2014-3005		2014-3215	2014-3185	2014-3285				
_			7 1 2	18		8		20	L	201		2017		2014	2014	2014				

## 2014-103 SAIL RFA - Sorting Order

						·		r	
Lottery Number	1	25	17	ξi,	×	78	m	24	6
dol abholf Creation Preference		>	>	^		>	^	۰	*
% ze tzequest as % of TDC Secrence?		^	Y	>		k	Á	>	>
SAll Request per		\$34,000.00	\$8,750.00	\$2,272.73	\$0.00	\$7,142.86	\$29,360.00	\$29,791.67	\$9,523.81
Per Unit Construction Funding Preference		>	Ą	٨		٨	٨	٨	٨
ztnío4 letoT		23.0	23.0	18.0	0.0	14.5	23.0	23.0	23.0
Filgible For Fanding?		2	z	z	s	2	z	z	2
EtJ Request		\$750,000	\$1,425,000	\$825,000		\$1,500,000	\$525,000	\$900,000	\$675,000
fsaupag JiA2		\$3,400,000.00	\$1,618,750.00	\$250,000.00		\$1,250,000.00	\$3,670,000.00	\$3,575,000.00	\$800,000.00
abizA 198 latoT esinU		ğ	185	911		275	125	120	8
Demo. Commitment		ш	ш	и.		w	ш	w	L
Dev Category		Redev.	Redev.	A/R		A'R	A/R	N.	NC
Name of evelopera		Integral Development LLC; Southwest Florida Affordable Housing Choice Foundation,	Robert King High Phase One Developer, U.C.	Southport Development, inc., a Washington corporation doing business in Florida as Southport Development	Southport Development, Inc., a Washington corporation doing business in Florida as Southport Development	Retirement Housing Foundation, Inc.	ERC Development, LLC; Cambridge Housing Partners,	AMC HTG 2 Developer, LLC	AMC HTG 1 Developer, LLC
Name of Contact Person		M Daryl C. Jones	Alberto Milo, Jr.	Brianne E. Heffner	Brianne E. Heffner	Anders Plett	Sarah R Robertson	Matthew Rieger	Matthew Rieger
County Size		2	7	-			Σ	-	7
Vanno	ber order)	lee	Mlami-Dade	Broward		Duval	Polk	Wlamf-Dade	Miaml-Dade
to amsk Inamqolav9O	ineligible Applications (in Application Number order)	Oasis at Renaissance Preserve Lee	Robert King High Preservation Miami-Dade Phase One	Caravel Arms Apartments	Serenity Tower	The Towers of Jacksonville	Winter Haven Manor	Courtside Apartments, Phase	Courtside Family Apartments Miami-Dade
Application Number	Ineligible Appli	2014-3085	2014-3095	2014-313S	2014-3145	2014-3155*	2014-3205	2014-3295	2014-3305

\*The ELI Request Amount was adjusted during scoring.
\*\*The SAIL Request Amount was adjusted during scoring.

On March 14, 2014, the Board of Directors of Florida Housing Finance Corporation approved the Review Committee's motion to adopt the scoring results above.

Any unsuccessful Applicant may file a notice of protest and a formal written protest in accordance with Section 120.57(3), Fla. Stat., Rule Chapter 28-110, F.A.C., and Rule 67-60.009, F.A.C. Fallure to file a protest within the time prescribed in Section 120.57(3), Fla. Stat., shall constitute a walver of proceedings under Chapter 120, Fla. Stat.

# 2014-103 SAIL RFA - Review Committee and Staff Recommendations

2014-3115	2014-3125	2014-3105	2014-3015	Medium Cou	2014-3275	2014-3265*	Small County	Application Number
Georgia Arms	400 Apartments	Brookestone I	Grove Park Apartments	Medium County Application(s) Recommended	Marianna Gardens	Azalea Gardens Apts	Small County Application(s) Recommended	Name of Development
Seminole	Alachua	Leon	St Lucie	ed	Jackson	Putnam	) A	County
₹	3	3	Z		S	v		County Size
Brianne E Heffner	Brianne E Heffner	Stewart W Rutledge	Jay P Brock		Kımberly Murphy	Michael S Jordan		Name of Contact Person
Southport Development, Inc., a Washington corporation doing business in Florida as Southport Development Services, Inc	Southport Development, Inc., a Washington coopporation doing business in Florida as Southport Development Services, Inc	Rosedale Holdings, LLC, H&H Residential Development, LLC	Atlantic Housing Partners LLLP		HVPG Developers, LLC, Royal American Development, Inc	E & N Development, Inc , Toledo Development Group, LLC		Name of Developers
A/R	A/R	NO	NC		A/R	N.		Dev Category
'n	m	m	TI		77	т		Demo. Commitment
8	101	108	168		100	28		Total Set Aside Units
\$1,850,000 00	\$1,650,000 00	\$3,050,000 00	\$4,200,000 00		\$350,000 00	\$2,408,774 50		SAIL Request
~	٧	4	٧		۲	۲		Eligible For Funding?
23 0	23 0	23 0	23 0		23 0	23 0		Total Points
٧	¥	٧	٧		٧	٧		Per Unit Construction Funding Preference
\$20,555 56	\$16,336 63	\$28,240 74	\$25,000 00		\$3,500 00	\$28,675 89		SAIL Request per Set-Aside
٧	4	٧	У		У	У		SAIL Request as % of TDC preference?
٧	٧	٧	~		۷	٧		Florida Job Creation Preference
20	z	19	5		21	18		Lottery Number

2014-103 SAIL RFA - Review Committee and Staff Recommendations

						,			
Lottery Number		13	11	77	4	22	28	2	31
Florida Job Creation Preference		>	>	>	>	>	٨	٨	^
% se sequest as % fearences of TDC for		>	>	٨	ý	>	٨	Á	>
nag tsaupaß JIA2 SbizA-192		\$8,755.00	\$15,000 00	\$24,800 00	\$24,989 90	\$26,265 60	\$28,750 00	\$15,781 24	\$27,000 00
Per Unit Construction Funding Preference		٨	y	<b>&gt;</b>	>	*	٨	*	٨
stnio9 lstoT		23.0	230	23.0	23.0	23.0	230	230	23.0
Eligible For Funding?		>	*	٨	λ	>	>	>	>
SAIL Request		\$1,138,150 00	\$1,200,000 00	\$4,960,000 00	\$2,474,000 00	\$3,939,840 00	\$2,300,000 00	\$2,524,999 00	\$2,592,000 00
9bizA 192 latoT esinU		130	88	200	66	150	80	160	96
Demo. Commitment		n n	ш	ш	E	В	E	E	ч
Dev Category		Redev	NC	NC	Redev	A/R	NC	NC	NC
Name of Developers		Smathers Phase Two Developer, LLC	TVC Development, Inc	APC Northwest Properties V Development, LLC, HEF Dixie Court Development, LLC	Landmark Development Corp , Baobab Development, Inc	Peterborough Shawn Wilson Redevelopment Associates LLC	Haley Park Developer, Inc	Tacocly Economic Development Corporation, Inc., Stone Soup Development, Inc	Brookstone Partners, LLC
Name of Contact Person		Alberto Milo, Jr	Stephen A Frick	Liz Wong	Francisco A Rojo	Shawn Wilson	Jonathan L Wolf	Douglas R Mayer	Mara S Mades
County Size		7	7	1	7	-	1	7	-7
County		Miami Dade	Duval	Broward	Palm Beach	Pinellas	Hillsborough	Mıamı Dade	Mıamı-Dade
Vame of Development	Large County Application(s) Recommended	Smathers Phase Two	Caroline Oaks	Northwest Gardens V	Paul Laurence Dunbar Senior Complex	Peterborough	Haley Park	Tuscany Cove I	Coquina Place
Application 19dmuM	Large County	2014-3065	2014-3058	2014-3225	2014-3005	2014 3215	2014-3165	2014-3255	2014-3185

\*The SAIL Request Amount was adjusted during scoring

On March 14, 2014, the Board of Directors of Florida Housing Finance Corporation approved the Review Committee's motion and staff recommendation to select the above Applications for funding and invite the Applicants to enter credit underwriting

Any unsuccessful Applicant may file a notice of protest and a formal written protest in accordance with Section 120 57(3). Fla Stat , Rule Chapter 28-110, F.A.C , and Rule 67-60 009, F.A.C. Failure to file a protest within the time prescribed in Section 120 57(3), Fla Stat , shall constitute a waiver of proceedings under Chapter 120, Fla Stat

		1097
SOUTHPORT FINANCIAL SERVICES, INC.	REGIONS BANK	
2430 Estancia Blvd, Surte 101 Clearwater, FL 33761 (727) 669-3660	61-1-620	2/3/2014
PAY TO THE FHFC		<b>\$</b> **3,000.00
Three Thousand and 00/100*********************************	***************	DOLLARS È
FHFC		8
227 N Bronough Street Suite 5000		<u> </u>
Tallahassee, FL 32301	// / /	
usus 2014 - 8143		
SAIL Application - Serenity Tower	( ) V	ORIZED SIGNATURE
	110100CCC7110	
\$*P£0000520\$* **\$P0£000*	0 184806567#*	
2 of 2)	0184806567**	
	0184806567#	
	0144806567**	
	0144806567**	
	01448065674	
	0144806567	FINA PAY
	01448065674	PAY TO WACHO FINANC 20
	01448065674	PAY TO THE WACHOWN FOR DEFINANCE
	01448065674	PAY TO THE C WACHOWA F FOR DEPO FLORIDA F FINANCE CO 209000
	01448065674	PAY TO THE OR WACHOWA BAY FOR DEPOSIT FLORIDA HO FLORIDA HO 20900020
	01448065674	DEPOST CONTROL
	01448065674	(a) () ia () a d
	01448065674	(A) (A) (A) (A)
2 of 2)	01448065674	W O M O A M
2 of 2)	01448065674	(a) () ia () a d
	01448065674	(a) () ia () a d

EXHIBIT B