

BEFORE THE STATE OF FLORIDA FLORIDA HOUSING FINANCE CORPORATION

TOWNHOMES OF JOURNEY, LP,	
Petitioner, vs.	FHFC No. <u>2009 - 070</u> UC Application No.2009-173C
FLORIDA HOUSING FINANCE CORPORATION,	
Respondent/	

PETITION FOR REVIEW

Pursuant to Section 120.569 and .57, Florida Statutes (F.S.) and Rule 67-48.005, Florido Administrative Code [F.A.C.], Petitioner, TOWNHOMES OF JOURNEY, LP ("Journey") requests an administrative hearing to challenge FLORIDA HOUSING FINANCE CORPORATION's ("Florida Housing") scoring actions concerning Universal Cycle Application No. 2009-173C. In support of this Petition, Journey provides as follows:

- 1. Journey is a Georgia limited partnership authorized to conduct business in Florida with its address of 2730 Cumberland Blvd SE, Smyrna, Georgia 30080. Journey is in the business of providing affordable rental housing units.
- 2. Florida Housing is the state agency delegated the authority and responsibility for administering and awarding funds pursuant to Chapter 420, F.S., and Rules 67-21 and 67-48, F.A.C.

Nature of the Controversy

- 3. On August 20, 2009, Journey applied to Florido Housing for funding pursuant to the Low Income Housing Tax Credit Program (LIHTC). The purpose of the requested funds was to supplement the construction of a 100-unit offordable housing apartment complex in Pensacola, Florida, named Townhomes of Journey.
- 4. Pursuant to section 420.5099, Florida Statutes, Florida Housing is the designated "hausing credit agency" for the State of Florido and administers Florida's low-income hausing tax credit pragram. Through this program, Florida Housing allocotes Florida's annual fixed pool of federal tax credits to developers of affordable housing.
- 5. The tax credits allocated annually to each state are owarded by state "housing credit agencies" to single-purpose applicant entities created by real estate developers to develop specific multi-family housing projects. An applicant entity will then sell this ten-year stream of tax credits, typically to a "syndicator," with the sale proceeds generating much of the funding necessary for development and construction of the project. The equity produced by this sale of tax credits in turn reduces the amount of long-term debt required for the project, making it possible to operate the project at rents that are affordable to low-income and very-low-income tenants.
- 6. The United States Congress has created a program, governed by Section 42 of the Internal Revenue Code ("IRC"), by which federal income tax credits are allotted annually to each state on a per capita basis to encourage private developers to build and operate affordable law-income housing for families. These tax credits entitle the holder to a

dollar-for-dollar reduction in the holder's federal tax liability, which can be taken for up to ten years if the project continues to satisfy all IRC requirements.

- 7. Because Florida Housing's available pool of federal tax credits each year is limited, qualified projects must compete for this funding. To assess the relative merits of proposed projects, Florida Housing has established a competitive application process pursuant to Chapter 67-48, F.A.C. Specifically, Florida Housing's application process for 2009, as set forth in Rules 67-48,002-.005, F.A.C., involves the following:
 - (a) The publication and adoption by rule of an application package;
 - (b) The completion and submission of applications by developers;
 - (c) Florida Housing's preliminary scoring of applications;
 - (d) An initial round of administrative challenges in which an applicant may take issue with Florida Housing's scoring of another application by filing a Notice of Possible Scoring Error ("NOPSE")'
 - (e) Florida Housing's consideration of the NOPSEs submitted, with notice to applicants of any resulting change in their preliminary scores;
 - (f) An opportunity for the applicant to submit additional materials to Flarida Housing ta "cure" any items for which the applicant received less than the moximum score;
 - (g) A second round of administrative challenges whereby an applicant moy raise scoring issues arising from another applicant's cure materials by filing a Notice of Alleged Deficiency ("NOAD");
 - (h) Florida Housing's consideration of the NOADs submitted, with notice to applicants of any resulting change in their scores;

16076473 |

- (i) An opportunity for applicants to challenge, via informal or formal administrative proceedings, Florida Housing's evaluation of any item for which the applicant received less than the maximum score; and
- (j) Final scores, ranking, and allocation of tax credit funding the applicants through the adoption of final orders.
- Application. Based on these Final Scores, and a series of Tie Breakers, Applications are then ranked. Funds are awarded to applicants starting with applicable preferences and set osides and the highest scoring applicants, until the available funds are exhausted. Applicants compete for funds, in large part, against other applicants in the same county size group, and against other applicants seeking to provide housing to the same demographic group. Journey is an applicant for Developments in the Medium County Geographic Set-Aside.
- 9. Based on a review of Florida Housing's final Scaring Summary dated December 2, 2009, Journey received a final scare of 70 out of a possible 70 points for its application. Additionally, Journey received 6 out of 6 ability to proceed and 7.50 out of 7.5 praximity tie-breaker praximity points. This score should allow Journey to receive a full award of its funding request. Florido Housing's scoring action concerns whether Journey should be disqualified fram consideration because of alleged financial arreatages. As will be explained more fully below, Florida Housing's scoring action in the instant case is erroneous.

16076473 1

Substantial Interests Affected

10. As an applicant for funds allocated by Florida Housing, Journey's substantial interests are adversely affected by the scoring decisions here. The final scoring actions of Florida Housing resulted in Journey's application being rejected from the funding. Since the purpose of the loan program in general is to provide funding to developers of apartment projects for low income residents, then Journey's interests ore adversely and substantially offected by the lass of funding. Indeed, without the requested funding, Journey's obility to provide much needed affordable housing units will be severely jeopordized.

Scoring of Journey's Application

- 11. The Universal Application asks an applicant to pravide information concerning its proposed project. In its original application, Journey submitted information to address each of the application requirements.
- 12. After conducting its preliminary review of the Application and all NOPSEs, Florida Housing found numerous scoring issues; however, the relevant issue in the instant case is as follows:

Pursuant to subsection 67-48.004[5], F.A.C., NOPSE scoring may include financial obligations for which an Applicant or Developer or Principal, Affiliate or Financial Beneficiory of an Applicant or the Developer is in arrears to the Corporation or an agent or assignee of the Corporation as of the due date for NOPSE filing (October 1, 2009). As provided in poragraph 67-48.004(13)(d), F.A.C., following the submission of the "Cures," the Corporation shall reject an Application if the Applicant fails to satisfy any arrearages described in subsection 67-48.004(5),

5

16076473 |

F.A.C. The Applicant or Developer ar Principal, Affiliate or Financial Beneficiary of the Applicant or the Developer is listed on the Octaber 1, 2009 Past Due Report as being in arrears to the Corporation in connection with the following Development(s): Edisto Lakes. The October 1, 2009 Past Due Report is posted to the FHFC Website at http://www.floridahousing.org/Home/PropertyOwnersManagers/PastDueReports.htm. Payments and questions should be addressed to the servicer.

(See Attachment A.)

- 13. In response to Flarida Housing's preliminary scaring decision, Journey provided numerous cure documents, including documentation which addressed the financial arrearage issue. The cure explanation clearly explains why the application should not be rejected (see Attachment B).
- 14. In response to the Cures and NOADs, Florida Housing on December 2, 2009, found that Journey had addressed most scaring issues roised in preliminary scoring and by NOPSEs. However, Florida Hausing concluded that Journey failed to cure the financial arrearage issue. Specifically, Florida Housing in its Final Scoring Summary concluded as follows:

In its response to Item 10T, the Applicant alleged that the financial arrearages should not apply to its Application. The financial arrearages described in Item 10T were not cured and remained outstanding as af November 3, 2009.

(See Attochment C.)

15. Flarida Housing's scoring decision is erroneaus for several reasons. Initially, the Applicant in the instant case is Townhomes of Journey, LP, with Tawnhomes of Journey Corporation as General Partner. The sole shareholder of Townhomes of

16076472)

Journey Corporation is The Paces Foundation, Inc., a Georgia non-profit corporation.

Paces Foundation, Inc., is also the developer of the proposed project in the instant case.

There are no Principals, Affiliates or Financial Beneficiaries of Townhomes of Journey, LP,

Townhomes of Journey Services Corporation, or The Paces Foundation, Inc.

- 16. The Applicant is not in arreors to Florida Housing or an ogent ar assignee of Florido Hausing as of the due date for NOPSE filing. The Developer is not in arrears to Florida Housing or an agent or assignee of Florida Housing as of the due date for NOPSE filing. No Principal, Affiliate or Financial Beneficiary of Applicant or Developer is in arrears to Florida Housing or an agent or assignee of Florida Housing as of the aue date for NOPSE filing.
- 17. Florida Housing is basing its decision on the fact that Mr. Mark du Mas was in the past the President or Principal of a minor entity involved in a deal which was awarded funding in 1995. Mark du Mas is the President of The Paces Foundation, Inc.
- 18. As of the due dote for NOPSE filing, Mark du Mas was not the President of Chastain Development Corporation (see Attachment D). As of September 25, 2009, Chastain Development Corporation was administratively dissolved for failing to file its onnual renewal. Indeed, on November 2, 2009, Chastain Development Corporation filed a request for reinstatement and its annual report which reflects someone other than Mr. du Mas as the President. Additionally, an official resignation has been filed with the Secretary of State's office which reflects a resignation date prior to October 1, 2009. Accordingly, Mr. du Mas is not a Principal of Chastain Development Corporation. Aside

16076473 | 7

from formerly being a corporate officer of Chastain Development Corporation, Mark du Mas is not a Principal, Affiliate or Financial Beneficiary of this development and should not have been included in the past due report. Accordingly, Journey's application should not be rejected.

WHEREFORE, Journey requests that it be gronted an administrative proceeding to contest Florida Housing's erroneous scoring decisions. To the extent there are disputed issues of fact, this matter should be forwarded to the Division of Administrative Hearings. Ultimotely, Journey requests the entry of a Recommended and Final Order which finds that it has met threshold and awards Jaurney all applicable points.

8

Respectfully submitted

Michael P. Donaldson FL Bar No. 0802761 CARLTON FIELDS, P.A.

P.O. Drawer 190

215 S. Monroe St., Suite 500

Tallahassee, FL 32302

Telephone: (850) 224-1585 Facsimile: (850) 222-0398

Counsel for Applicant

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that the original of the foregoing has been filed by Hand Delivery with the Agency Clerk, Florida Housing Finance Corporation, 227 N. Bronough Street, Suite 5000, Tallahassee, FL 32301; and a copy furnished to Wellington H. Meffert, II, Esq., Florida Housing Finance Corporation, 227 N. Bronough St., Suite 5000, Tollahassee, FL 32301, this 28th day of December, 2009.

MICHAEL P. DONALDSON

Scoring Summary Report

File #: 2009-173C Development Name: Townhomes of Journey

As Of:	Total Points	Met Threshold?	Ability to Proceed Tie- Breaker Points	Proximity Tie- Breaker Points
10/21/2009	70 00	N	6 00	7 50
Preliminary	70.00	N	6 00	7.50
NOPSE	70.00	Z	6.00	7.50
Final				
Final-Ranking				

Scores:

item#	Part	Section	Subsection	Description	Available Points	Preliminary	NOPSE	Final	Final Ranking
				Construction Features & Amenities			•		<u> </u>
18	≡	В	2.a	New Construction	9,00	9.00	9.00		
15	10	В	2.b	Rehabilitation/Substantial Rehabilitation	9 00	0 00	0.00		
2S	Ш	В	2.c	All Developments Except SRO	12 00	12,00	12.00		
28	!!!	В	2.d	SRO Developments	12.00	0.00	0.00		1
3S	III	В	2.e	Energy Conservation Features	9.00	9.00	9.00		:
4 <u>S</u>	Ш	В	3	Green Building	5.00	5.00	5.00		
				Set-Aside Commitment					
5S	III	E	1 b (2)	Special Needs Households	4 00	4.00	4 00		
6S	ai -	E	1.b.(3)	Total Set-Aside Commitment	3 00	3.00	3.00		
7 S	Ш	E	3	Affordability Period	5 00	5.00	5 00		
				Resident Programs					
8S	Ш	F	1	Programs for Non-Elderly & Non-Homeless	6.00	6 00	6 00		
8 S	ш	F	2	Programs for Homeless (SRO & Non-SRO)	6 00	0.00	0.00		
8 S	Ш	F	3	Programs for Elderly	6 00	0.00	0.00		
98	†H	F	1	Programs for All Applicants	8 00	8.00	8.00		
				Local Covernment Contributions					
10S	IV	Α		Contributions	5 00	5.00	5.00		-
				Local Government Incentives					
118	IV	В		Incentives	4 00	4.00	4 00		

Threshold(s) Failed:

ltem #	Part	Section	Subsection	Description	Reason(s)	Created as Result of	Rescinded as Result of
1T	V	ס	2	HC Equity	Per page 73 of the 2009 Universal Application Instructions, at least 15% of the proposed equity to be provided must be paid prior to or simultaneous with the closing of construction financing. The Applicant provided an equity commitment from Enterprise that does not meet the 15% requirement. Therefore, the commitment cannot be considered a source of financing.	Preliminary	
2T	V	D	1	Non-Corporation Funding	One of the requirements for a financing commitment is that it contains a statement that the commitment does not expire before December 31, 2009 (page 71 of the 2009 Universal Application Instructions). The first mortgage financing from Hamilton State Bank (Exhibit 55) does not include the required statement. Therefore, it cannot be considered a source of financing.	Preliminary	
3T	٧	D	1	Non-Corporation Funding	The Applicant provided documentation for the first mortgage financing from Hamilton State Bank. However, the financing commitment references 96 units but the Application references 100 units at III.A.6. Because of this inconsistency, the financing commitment cannot be considered a source of financing.	Preliminary	
4T	\ 	D	1	Non-Corporation Funding	One of the requirements for a financing commitment is that it contains a statement that the commitment does not expire before December 31, 2009 (page 71 of the 2009 Universal Application Instructions). The first mortgage financing from Enterprise (Exhibit 56) does not include the required statement. Therefore, it cannot be considered a source of financing.	Preliminary	
51	V	D	1	Non-Corporation Funding	The Applicant provided documentation for the first mortgage financing from Enterprise Multifamily Mortgage Finance. However, the loan commitment is not signed by the Applicant. Therefore, the loan commitment cannot be considered a source of financing.	Preliminary	
6T	\ \	D	1	Non-Corporation Funding	The Applicant provided documentation for the first mortgage financing from Enterprise Multifamily Mortgage Finance. However, the loan commitment indicates "The proposed borrower is to be determined." Therefore, the loan commitment cannot be considered a source of financing.	Preliminary	
7 T	\ \ \	В.		Construction/Rehab. Analysis	The Applicant has a construction financing shortfall of \$10,412,107.00.	Preliminary	

2 ol 4 10/21/2009 1 45:10 PM

Item #	Part	Section	Subsection	Description	Reason(s)	Created as Result of	' Rescinded as Result of
вт	<	В		Permanent Analysis	The Applicant has a permanent financing shortfall of \$10,412,107.00.	Preliminary	
Те	=	A	2. e	Non-Profit Applicant	The Developer Information in the description of the role of the Non-Profit, provided at Exhibit 6 of the Application, is inconsistent with the Developer information provided elsewhere in the Application. Exhibit 6 states that The Paces Foundation, Inc. is "the 80% Co-Developer"; however, The Paces Foundation, inc. is listed as the sole Developer at Part II B 1.a. of the Application and at Exhibits 9 and 11.	Preliminary	
10T				Financial Arrears	Pursuant to subsection 67-48.004(5), F.A.C., NOPSE scoring may include financial obligations for which an Applicant or Developer or Principal, Affiliate or Financial Beneficiary of an Applicant or the Developer is in arrears to the Corporation or an agent or assignee of the Corporation as of the due date for NOPSE filing (October 1, 2009). As provided in paragraph 67-48.004(13)(d), F.A.C., following the submission of the "Cures," the Corporation shall reject an Application if the Applicant fails to salisfy any arrearages described in subsection 67-48.004(5), F.A.C. The Applicant or Developer or Principal, Affiliate or Financial Beneficiary of the Applicant or the Developer is listed on the October 1, 2009 Past Due Report as being in arrears to the Corporation in connection with the following Development(s): Edisto Lakes. The October 1, 2009 Past Due Report is posted to the FHFC Website at http://www.floridahousing.org/Home/PropertyOwnersMan agers/PastQueReports.htm. Payments and questions should be addressed to the servicer.	NOPSE	

Ability To Proceed Tie-Breaker Points:

Item #	Part	Section		Description	Available Points	Preliminary	NOPSE	Final	Final Ranking
1A	tii	c	1	Site Plan/Plat Approval	1.00	1.00	1.00		
2A	III	С	3.a	Availability of Electricity	1 00	1.00	1.00		
3A	Ш	С	3.b	Availability of Water	1.00	1.00	1 00		
4A	Ш	С	3.c	Availability of Sewer	1.00	1 00	1.00		
5A	III	С	3.d	Availability of Roads	1.00	1.00	1.00		
6A	m	С	4	Appropriately Zoned	1.00	1.00	1.00		

Proximity Tie-Breaker Points:

ltem#	Part	Section	Subsection	Description	Available Points	Preliminary	NOPSE	Final	Final Ranking
1 P	111	Α	10.b.(2) (a)	Grocery Store	1.25	1.25	1.25		
2P	101	Α	10.b.(2) (b)	Public School	1.25	1.25	1.25		
3P	Ξ.	Α	10.b.(2) (c)	Medical Facility	1.25	0.00	0.00		
4P	Ш	Α	10.b.(2) (d)	Pharmacy	1.25	1.25	1.25		
5P	Hi	Α	10.b.(2) (e)	Public Bus Stop or Metro-Rail Stop	1.25	0.00	0.00		
6P	III	A	10.c	Proximity to Development on FHFC Development Proximity List	3.75	3.75	3.75		
7P	111	Α	10.a	Involvement of a PHA	7.50	0.00	0.00		

Additional Application Comments:

Item #	Pert	Section	Subsection	Description	Comment(s)	Created as Result of	Rescinded as Result of
. 1C	III	Α	10	Proximity	The Applicant qualified for 3.75 automatic proximity points at 6P.	Preliminary	

4 of 4 10/21/2009 1 45.10 PM

2009 CURE FORM

(Submit a SEPARATE form for EACH reason relative to EACH Application Part, Sertion, Subsection, and Exhibit)

Part	Section S	ubsection Exhi	bit No of	spolicable)
	ed information is submit Report because:	ted in response to the 20	009 Universal Sc	coring
1.	failure to achieve ma	and/or NOPSE scoring aximum points, a failure aximum proximity poin exhibit stated above. Ch	to achieve thrests relative to the	shold, and/or a Part, Section.
		2009 Universal	Creat	ed by:
		Scoring	Preliminary	NOPSE
		Summary	Scoring	Scoring
		Report	 -	
	Reason Score Not Maxed	ftem NoS		
	Reason Ability to Proceed Score Not Maxed	Item NoA		
	Reason Failed Threshold	Item No. 10T		图
	Reason Proximity Points Not Maxed	Item NoP		
	Additional Comment	Item NoC		
☐ 2.	Other changes are ne	eessary to keep the App	lication consiste	:nt:

Brief Statement of Explanation regarding Application 2009-173C

Provide a separate brief statement for each Cure

Pursuant to Florida Housing's scoring summary, "Pursuant to subsection 67-48,004(5), F.A.C., NOPSE scoring may include financial obligations for which an Applicant or Developer or Principal, Affiliate or Financial beneficiary of an Applicant or the Developer is in arrears to the Corporation or an agent or assignee of the Corporation as of the duc date for NOPSE filing (October 1, 2009). As provided in paragraph 67-48.004(13)(d), F.A.C., following the submission of the "Cures," the Corporation shall reject an Application if the Applicant fails to satisfy any arrearages described in subsection 67-48,004(5), F.A.C. The Applicant or Developer or Principal, Affiliate or Financial Beneficiary of the Applicant or the Developer is listed on the October 1, 2009 Past Due Report as being in arrears to the Corporation in connection with the following Development(s): Edisto Lakes. The October 1, 2009 Past Due Report is posted to the FHFC Website at http://www.floridahousing.org/Home/PropertyOwnersManagers/PastDueReports.ht m. Payments and questions should be addressed to the servicer.

The Applicant for Application 2009-173C is Townhomes of Journey, L.P., a

Georgia limited partnership with Townhomes of Journey Services Corporation as

General Partner. The sole shareholder of Townhomes of Journey Services

Corporation is The Paces Foundation, Inc., a Georgia non-profit corporation. The

Developer of application 2009-173C is the Paces Foundation, Inc. There are no

Principals, Affiliates or Financial Beneficiaries of either Townhomes of Journey,

L.P., Townhomes of Journey Services Corporation, or The Paces Foundation, Inc.

Mark du Mas is the President of The Paces Foundation, Inc.

The Applicant, Townhomes of Journey, L.P., is not in arrears to the Corporation or an agent or assignee of the Corporation as of the due date for NOPSE filing. The Developer, The Paces Foundation, Inc., is not in arrears to the Corporation or an agent or assignee of the Corporation as of the due date for NOPSE filing. No Principal, Affiliate or Financial Beneficiary of Applicant or Developer is in arrears to the Corporation or an agent or assignee of the Corporation as of the due date for NOPSE filing.

Townhomes of Journey, L.P., Townhomes of Journey Services Corporation, and
The Paces Foundation. Inc., have no connection to, or affiliation with the
development listed on the Past Due Report – Edisto Lakes. Edisto Lakes is a 376
unit affordable apartment community originally constructed in 1995 and located in
Fort Myers, Lee County, Florida. The non-profit general partner of Edisto Lakes

with a 0.5% partnership interest is Chastain Development Corporation. Chastain Development Corporation's sole asset is its general partner interest in Edisto Lakes.

As of the due date for NOPSE filing, Mark du Mas was not the President of Chastain Development Corporation. As of September 25, 2009, Chastain Development Corporation was administratively dissolved for failing to file its annual renewal, which is reflective of the fact that Mr. du Mas has had little or no involvement with Chastain Development Corporation. Indeed, on November 2, 2009, Chastain Development Corporation filed a request for reinstatement and its annual report which reflects that Mr. du Mas is not the President. Accordingly, Mr. du Mas is not a Principal of Chastain Development Corporation. Aside from formerly being a corporate officer of Chastain Development Corporation, Mark du Mas is not a Principal, Affiliate or Financial Beneficiary of this development and should be removed from the past due report.

Also attached is a letter from Hunt Dulap, corporate secretary and counsel to The Paces Foundation, Inc., which further clarifies our response.

THE DUNLAP LAW FIRM, LLC 2964 PEACHTREE ROAD NW BUCKHEAD CENTRE - SUITE 300 ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30305

TELEPHONE 404-816-4034 TELECOPY 404-816-4035

R HUIT DUNLAP, JR. DIRECT DIAL: 404-816-4095 E-Mail: <u>1994LAP@OURLAH.AM.CON</u>

November 2, 2009

The Paces Foundation, Inc. 2730 Cumberland Boulevard Smyrna, Georgia 30080 Attention: Mr. Mark M. du Mas

> RE: Florida Housing Finance Corporation Edisto Lakes Apartments

Edisto Group, Ltd. Lee County, Florids

Dear Mark:

This letter is written in response to your questions concerning the past due reports dated October 1, 2009 and October 13, 2009 published by the Florida Housing Finance Corporation ("FHFC") at www.floridahousing.org wherein Edisto Lakes Apartments in Lee County, Florida (the "Project") is listed as being out of compliance with respect to its obligations to FHFC. Each of those reports lists Mark M. du Mas under the heading of "Affiliate/Financial Beneficiary/Principal". You have informed me that The Paces Foundation, Inc. ("Paces Foundation") is involved in three (3) currently pending tax credit applications in Florida. I understand that the appearance of your name on these lists has caused NOPSE scoring issues for each of these projects.

Ċ

As counsel to Paces Foundation and as the corporate secretary of Paces Foundation, I have been asked to clarify your status as an "affiliate" or "principal" as reported in the aforementioned reports, and in that connection I have reviewed files and records concerning the Project. The Project is owned by Edisto Group, Ltd., a Florida limited partnership ("Edisto"). Edisto has two general partners, Group Edisto, Inc. and its parent organization, Heritage Companies (the "Edisto GP") which is the managing general partner, and Chastain Development Corp., a Florida non-profit corporation ("Chastain") which is the non-profit general partner, and which owns a .5% partnership interest. At the time the Project was developed in 1995, you were serving as the president of Chastain, but you are no longer the president of Chastain. Aside from having been a corporate officer of Chastain, you have no personal interest or involvement in Edisto Lakes, you receive no financial benefit from Edisto or the Project, and you are certainly not otherwise affiliated with the Edisto GP. To the contrary, since the beginning of the

THE DUNLAP LAW FIRM, LLC

Mr. Mark M. du Mas The Paces Foundation, Inc. November 2, 2009 Page 2

development of the Project, the Edisto GP has excluded Chastain from all financial or managerial involvement in the Edisto Lakes project for years. Despite your numerous requests, the Edisto GP has not provided reports or any other type of information to Chastain concerning the Project. In fact, I understand that the revelation of this NOPSE issue is the first time that you have been made aware of the problems at the Project. Once Edisto received an allocation of tax credits from the non-profit set aside as a result of Chastain's involvement, Chastain has been treated as if it did not exist and as if its involvement in Edisto was unnecessary and irrelevant, and it has been precluded from any participation whatsoever.

Now, the non-compliance, defaults and delinquency of Edisto and the Project are causing a NOPSE problem for the 2009 projects that Paces Foundation is involved in. I believe that you should appeal to FHFC in an effort to have these reports revised to remove your name as an "Affiliate/Financial Beneficiary/Principal" of the Project. You are no longer the president of Chastain, and neither you nor Paces Foundation is an affiliate of Edisto or the Edisto GP. Likewise, neither you nor Paces Foundation is a financial beneficiary of Edisto. Even though Chastain is a general partner in Edisto, the actions of the managing general partner, the Edisto GP, have precluded Chastain's involvement beyond lending its name to Edisto's tax credit application over a decade ago. It does not seem fair to penalize a current applicant (or its partners) for the deeds of a partnership in which neither you nor Paces Foundation has any involvement.

Once you have had an opportunity to review this letter, should you have any questions concerning this matter, please give me a call.

Best regards.

Very truly yours,

IE-DUNIAP LAW FIRM, LL

L Hunt Dunlap, Jr.

Scoring Summary Report

File #: 2009-173C Development Name: Townhomes of Journey

As Of:	Total Points	Met Threshold?	Ability to Proceed Tle- Breaker Points	Proximity Tie- Breaker Points
12/02/2009	70.00	N	6 00	7 50
Preliminary	70.00	N	6.00	7.50
NOPSE	70.00	N	6.00	7 50
Final	70.00	N	6.00	7.50
Final-Ranking				

Scores:

item#	Part	Section	Subsection	Description	Available Points	Preliminary	NOPSE	Fina)	Final Ranking
				Construction Features & Amenities					
15	Ш	В	2.a	New Construction	9.00	9.00	9.00	9.00	
1S	111	B	2.b	Rehabilitation/Substantial Rehabilitation	9 00	0.00	0 00	0.00	
28	Ш	В	2.c	Ali Developments Except SRO	12 00	12 00	12.00	12.00	
2S	Ш	В	2. d	SRO Developments	12.00	0.00	0 00	0.00	
38	(II	В	2.e	Energy Conservation Features	9 00	9.00	9.00	9.00	
48	(III	В	3	Green Building	5.00	5.00	5 00	5.00	
				Set-Aside Commitment					
58	(1)	E	1 b.(2)	Special Needs Households	4 00	4.00	4.00	4.00	
6S	18]	E	1.b.(3)	Total Set-Aside Commitment	3 00	3.00	3.00	3.00	
7S	181	E	3	Affordability Period	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	
				Resident Programs					
85	Ш	F	1	Programs for Non-Elderly & Non-Homeless	6 00	6.00	6.00	6.00	
8\$	H	F	2	Programs for Homeless (SRO & Non-SRO)	6 00	0 00	0.00	0.00	
8S	н	F	3	Programs for Elderly	6 00	0 00	0.00	0.00	
98]H	F	4	Programs for All Applicants	8 00	8.00	8.00	8.00	
				Local Government Contributions					
105	ΙV	А		Contributions	5.00	5 00	5.00	5.00	
				Local Government Incentives					
115	IV	В		Incentives	4 00	4.00	4.00	4 00	

1 of 4 12/2/2009 9 50:57 AM

Threshold(s) Failed:

item#	Part	Section	Subsection	Description	Reason(s)	Created as Result of	Rescinded as Result of
11	٧	D	2	HC Equity	Per page 73 of the 2009 Universal Application Instructions, at least 15% of the proposed equity to be provided must be paid prior to or simultaneous with the closing of construction financing. The Applicant provided an equity commitment from Enterprise that does not meet the 15% requirement. Therefore, the commitment cannot be considered a source of financing.	Preliminary	Final
2T	>	٥	1	Non-Corporation Funding	One of the requirements for a financing commitment is that it contains a statement that the commitment does not expire before December 31, 2009 (page 71 of the 2009 Universal Application Instructions). The first mortgage financing from Hamilton State Bank (Exhibit 55) does not include the required statement. Therefore, it cannot be considered a source of financing.	Preliminary	Final
31	\ \	D	1	Non-Corporation Funding	The Applicant provided documentation for the first mortgage financing from Hamilton State Bank. However, the financing commitment references 96 units but the Application references 100 units at III.A.6. Because of this inconsistency, the financing commitment cannot be considered a source of financing.	Preliminary	Final
41	>	D	1	Non-Corporation Funding	One of the requirements for a financing commitment is that it contains a statement that the commitment does not expire before December 31, 2009 (page 71 of the 2009 Universal Application Instructions). The first mortgage financing from Enterprise (Exhibit 56) does not include the required statement. Therefore, it cannot be considered a source of financing.	Prelimi⊓ary	Final
51	٧	D	1	Non-Corporation Funding	The Applicant provided documentation for the first mortgage financing from Enterprise Multifamily Mortgage Finance. However, the loan commitment is not signed by the Applicant. Therefore, the loan commitment carried be considered a source of financing.	Preliminary	Final
6T	٧	D	1	Non-Corporation Funding	The Applicant provided documentation for the first mortgage financing from Enterprise Multifamily Mortgage Finance. However, the loan commitment indicates "The proposed borrower is to be determined." Therefore, the loan commitment cannot be considered a source of financing.	Preliminary	Final
7T	٧	В		Construction/Rehab. Analysis	The Applicant has a construction financing shortfall of \$10,412,107.00.	Preliminary	Final

2 pl 4 12/2/2009 9 50.57 AM

item#	Part	Section	Subsection	Description	Reason(s)	Created es Result of	Rescinded as Result of
8T	٧	B		Permanent Analysis	The Applicant has a permanent financing shortfall of \$10,412,107.00.	Preliminary	Final
9T		А	2.e	Non-Profit Applicant	The Developer information in the description of the role of the Non-Profit, provided at Exhibit 6 of the Application, is inconsistent with the Developer information provided elsewhere in the Application. Exhibit 6 states that The Paces Foundation, Inc. is "the 80% Co-Developer"; however, The Paces Foundation, Inc. is listed as the sole Developer at Part II.B.1.a. of the Application and at Exhibits 9 and 11.	Preliminary	Final
10T	10T Financial Arrears		Financial Arrears	Pursuant to subsection 67-48.004(5), F.A.C., NOPSE scoring may include financial obligations for which an Applicant or Developer or Principal, Affiliate or Financial Beneficiary of an Applicant or the Developer is in arrears to the Corporation or an agent or assignee of the Corporation as of the due date for NOPSE filling (October 1, 2009). As provided in paragraph 67-48.004(13)(d), F.A.C., following the submission of the "Cures," the Corporation shall reject an Application if the Applicant fails to satisfy any arrearages described in subsection 67-48.004(5), F.A.C. The Applicant or Developer or Principal, Affiliate or Financial Beneficiary of the Applicant or the Developer is listed on the October 1, 2009 Past Due Report as being in arrears to the Corporation in connection with the following Development(s): Edisto Lakes. The October 1, 2009 Past Due Report is posted to the FHFC Website at http://www.floridahousing.org/Home/PropertyOwnersMan agers/PastDueReports.htm. Payments and questions should be addressed to the servicer.	NOPSE		

Ability To Proceed Tie-Breaker Points:

Item #	Part	Section	Subsection	Description	Available Points	Preliminary	NOPSE	Final	Final Ranking
1A	Ш	С	1	Site Plan/Plat Approval	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
2A	111	С	3.a	Availability of Electricity	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
3A	116	С	3.b	Availability of Water	1.00	1 00	1.00	1 00	
4A	111	С	3.c	Availability of Sewer	1 00	1.00	1 00	1.00	_
5A	188	С	3.d	Availability of Roads	1 00	1.00	1 00	1.00	
6A	Ш	С	4	Appropriately Zoned	1.00	1 00	1.00	1.00	

3 of 4 12/2/2009 9 50 57 AM

Proximity Tie-Breaker Points:

Item#	Part	Section	Subsection	Description	Available Points	Prellminary	NOPSE	Final	Final Ranking
1P	Ш	A	10.b.(2) (a)	Grocery Store	1.25	1.25	1.25	1.25	
2P	Ш	A	10.b (2) (b)	Public School	1 25	1.25	1.25	1.25	
3 P	111	Α	10.b (2) (c)	Medical Facility	1.25	0.00	0.00	0.00	
4P	111	Α	10.b.(2) (d)	Pharmacy	1.25	1.25	1.25	1.25	
5P	Ш	Α	10.b.(2) (e)	Public Bus Stop or Metro-Rail Stop	1.25	0.00	0.00	0.00	
6 P	111	A	10.c	Proximity to Development on FHFC Development Proximity List	3.75	3.75	3.75	3.75	
7 P	.iii	Α	10.a	Involvement of a PHA	7.50	0.00	0.00	0.00	

Additional Application Comments:

Item #	Part	Section	Subsection	Description	Comment(s)	Created as Result of	Rescinded as Result of
1C	115	A	10	Proximity	The Applicant qualified for 3.75 automatic proximity points at 6P.	Prelimin ar y	
2C				Financial Arrears	In its response to Item 10T, the Applicant alleged that the financial arrearages should not apply to its Application. The financial arrearages described in Item 10T were not cured and remained outstanding as of November 3, 2009.	Fin ai	

4 of 4 12/2/2009 9:50 57 AM

AFFIDAVIT OF MARK DU MAS

STATE OF GEORGIA COUNTY OF COBB

I, MARK DU MAS, having been duly sworn, do hereby state as follows:

- I am the President of Paces Foundation, the developer of Panama Manor and the Townhomes of Journey.
- 2. I was also previously the President of Chastain Development ("Chastain") which in 1993 partnered with Edisto Group, Ltd., and the Heritage Companies to construct a 376-unit family affordable housing project in Ft. Myers, Florida.
- 3. Chastain was the non-profit general partner for the deal and was granted a .5% partnership interest in the project called the Edisto Lakes Apartments. Chastain was indemnified by the Managing General Partner for any losses.
- 4. Edisto Lakes Apartments was funded with LIHTC, SAIL and AHP proceeds in 1995 and construction was completed in 1997.
- 5. The project has been in operation for approximately 12 years and the management and control of the project has not been the responsibility of Chastain.
- 6. As President of Chastain, I had and have no control over the arrearage issues raised by Florida Housing in its Past Due reports. In fact, I had no knowledge and was not notified by Florida Housing of any arrearage issue prior to the 2009 Universal Cycle Application review and scoring process. It is my understanding that the alleged arrearage for the Edisto project is more than \$1,000,000.
- 7. I am not the President of Chastain and have not operated in that capacity for some time. I was not the President of Chastain when Florida Housing issued its Past Due reports on October 1, 2009.

16080967.1

8. The facts set forth herein are true and correct.

FURTHER AFFIANT SAYETH NOT.

luul l. de lan

Signed and sworn to before me this 23 day of December, 2009, by Mark du Mas who

is personally known to me.

LINDA MCMILLAN Notary Public-Cobb County, Georgia My Commission Expires February 4, 2011 NOTARY PUBLIC

State of Georgia at Large

My commission expires: Library 4, 2011



December 22, 2009

CARLTON FIELDS

TALLAHASSEE, FL

Re: Document Number N00000001462

The Officer/Director Resignation was filed on December 21, 2009, resigning MARK M. DU MAS from CHASTAIN DEVELOPMENT CORP., a Florida corporation.

The certification you requested is enclosed.

Should you have any questions regarding this matter, please telephone (850) 245-6050, the Amendment Filing Section.

Cheryl Coulliette
Regulatory Specialist II
Division of Corporations

Letter Number: 309A00038768

Account number: FCA000000017 Amount charged: 43.75



Bepartment of State

I certify the attached is a true and correct copy of the Resignation of Officer and/or Director, resigning MARK M. DU MAS from CHASTAIN DEVELOPMENT CORP., a Florida corporation. This document was filed on December 21, 2009, as shown by the records of this office.

The document number of this corporation is N0000001462.,

Given under my hand and the Great Seal of the State of Florida at Tallahassee, the Capitol, this the Twenty-second day of December, 2009

CR2E**O22** (01-07)

Rurt S. Prowning Secretary of State

OFFICER/DIRECTOR RESIGNATION FOR A CORPORATION

I, Mark M. du Mas, hereby resign as President/Director of Chastain Development Corp...

N00000001462, a corporation organized under the laws of the State of Florida. The effective date of my resignation is September 16, 2009.

MARK M duMAS

48 DEC 21 PM 4: 49